ECHELLE LONG-SLIT OPTICAL SPECTROSCOPY OF EVOLVED STARS

C. SÁNCHEZ CONTRERAS,¹ R. SAHAI,² A. GIL DE PAZ,³ AND R. GOODRICH⁴ Received 2008 April 15; accepted 2008 June 19

ABSTRACT

We present echelle long-slit optical spectra of a sample of objects evolving off the asymptotic giant branch (AGB), most of them in the preplanetary nebula (PPN) phase, obtained with the ESI and MIKE spectrographs at the 10 m Keck II and 6.5 m Magellan-I telescopes, respectively. The total wavelength range covered with ESI (MIKE) is \sim 3900–10900 Å (\sim 3600–7200 Å). In this paper, we focus our analysis mainly on the H α profiles. Prominent H α emission is detected in half of the objects, most of which show broad H α wings (with total widths of up to ~4000 km s⁻¹). In the majority of the H α -emission sources, fast, post-AGB winds are revealed by P-Cygni profiles. In \sim 37% of the objects H α is observed in absorption. In almost all cases, the absorption profile is partially filled with emission, leading to complex, structured profiles that are interpreted as an indication of incipient post-AGB mass loss. The rest of the objects (~13%) are H α nondetections. We investigate correlations between the H α profile and different stellar and envelope parameters. All sources in which H α is seen mainly in absorption have F-G type central stars, whereas sources with intense H α emission span a larger range of spectral types from O to G, with a relative maximum around B, and also including very late C types. Shocks may be an important excitation/ionization agent of the close stellar surroundings for objects with late type central stars. Sources with pure emission or P Cygni H α profiles have larger J - K color excess than objects with H α mainly in absorption, which suggests the presence of warm dust near the star in the former. The two classes of profile sources also segregate in the *IRAS* color-color diagram in a way that intense H α -emitters have dust grains with a larger range of temperatures. Spectral classification of the central stars in our sample is presented. For a subsample (13 objects), the stellar luminosity has been derived from the analysis of the O I 7771–7775 Å infrared triplet. The location in the HR diagram of most of these targets, which represent \sim 30% of the whole sample, is consistent with relatively high final (and, presumably, initial) masses in the range $M_f \sim 0.6-0.9 M_{\odot} (M_i \sim 3-8 M_{\odot})$.

Subject headings: circumstellar matter - ISM: jets and outflows - planetary nebulae: general -

stars: AGB and post-AGB — stars: mass loss

Online material: color figures, extended figure set

1. INTRODUCTION

Intermediate mass stars ($\sim 1-8 M_{\odot}$) evolve from the asymptotic giant branch (AGB) to the planetary nebula (PN) phase through a short-lived ($\sim 10^3$ yr) and fascinating evolutionary stage designated as the post-AGB (PAGB) or preplanetary nebula (PPN) phase. At some point in the late-AGB or early PAGB stage, a process (or processes) becomes operative that accelerates and imposes severe asymmetries on the slow, spherical AGB winds: the spherical, slowly expanding ($V_{exp} \sim 15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) AGB circumstellar envelope (CSE) becomes a PN with clear departures from sphericity and fast ($\gtrsim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) outflows directed along one or more axis. Although there is no consensus yet for what causes this spectacular metamorphosis, fast jetlike winds have been hypothesized to play an important role (see, e.g., the review paper on PN shaping by Balick & Frank 2002). These outflows carve out an imprint within the AGB CSE producing and shaping the fast, bipolar lobes observed in most PPNs and PNs (Sahai & Trauger 1998). The mechanism that powers and collimates PAGB jets is a fundamental issue on stellar evolution that remains a mystery.

² Jet Propulsion Laboratory, MS 183-900, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109.

Optical spectroscopic observations of PPNs and PNs have allowed considerable advances in our understanding of PAGB evolution and, in particular, are very useful for probing the interaction between PAGB winds and the CSE formed in the previous AGB phase. Our current (very limited) knowledge of PAGB evolution and, more particularly, of PAGB winds is derived mainly in two ways. The first is *indirect*, that is, based on the effects of the PAGB winds on the AGB CSEs. Many PPNs/PNs show extended lobes with, often, bow-shaped features at their tips that are visible through optical recombination and forbidden emission lines. Spectroscopic observations have been crucial for understanding the origin of these regions, which are found to be excited by the passage of fast ($\geq 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) shocks (e.g., Sánchez Contreras et al. 2000; Vázquez et al. 2000). From these results we infer the existence of fast, PAGB winds that interact hydrodynamically with the AGB CSE leading to the formation of shocks and, ultimately, to the acceleration and shaping of the nebular material.

Direct detection of PAGB winds is limited to a very few objects. In some cases, there is Balmer and forbidden line emission arising in a set of compact, shock-excited regions located along the nebular axis (e.g., Bujarrabal et al. 1998; Riera et al. 2006). These "knots," which usually move away from the star at high velocity, are thought to result from the propagation of shocks in the PAGB wind itself, suggesting that PAGB winds are collimated and directed along the nebular axis. In some PPNs/PNs, fast PAGB winds are also revealed by P-Cygni profiles close to the central star. One of the most exciting results recently provided by long-slit spectra with *Hubble Space Telescope (HST*) STIS is

¹ Departamento de Astrofísica Molecular e Infrarroja, Instituto de Estructura de la Materia, CSIC, Serrano 121, E-28006 Madrid, Spain.

³ Departamento de Astrofísica, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Avda. de la Complutense S/N, E-28040, Madrid, Spain.

⁴ W. M. Keck Observatory, 65-1120 Mamalahoa Highway, Kamuela, HI 96743.

TABLE 1
JOURNAL OF OBSERVATIONS

IRAS Name	Other Name	R.A. (2000.0)	Decl. (2000.0)	Date Observed	Exposure Time (s)	P.A. (deg)
01037+1219	IRC +10011	01 06 25.98	+12 35 53.1	2004 Nov 08	1800	135
02316+6455	V656 Cas	02 35 44.67	$+65\ 08\ 58.7$	2004 Nov 08	700	166
03507+1115	IK Tau	03 53 28.87	+11 24 21.7	2004 Nov 08	1250	120
04296+3429		04 32 56.97	+34 36 12.4	2004 Nov 08	1500	95
05506+2414-Sa		05 53 43.56	+24 14 44.7	2004 Nov 08	1800	136
05506+2414-Sb		05 53 43.18	+24 14 44.1	2004 Nov 08	1200	45
08005-2356		08 02 40.71	-24 04 42.7	2004 Nov 08	1320	132
09452+1330	IRC +10216, CW Leo	09 47 57.41	+13 16 43.6	2004 Nov 08	3600	22
10131+3049	CIT-6, RW LMi	10 16 02.29	+30 34 19.1	2004 Nov 08	1470	20
17150-3224	AFGL 6815	17 18 19.86	-32 27 21.6	2003 Apr 27	1200	94 ^a
17317–2743		17 34 53.29	-27 45 11.5	2003 Jun 03	900	7
17423–1755	Hen 3–1475	17 45 14.19	-17 56 46.9	2003 Jun 04	600	135
17440-3310		17 47 22.72	-33 11 09.3	2003 Apr 28	3600	115 ^b
17441-2411	Silkworm Nebula	17 47 13.49	-24 12 51.4	2003 Jun 03	2700	15
17516-2525		17 54 43.35	-25 26 28.0	2003 Jun 02	1920	164
18167-1209		18 19 35.50	$-12 \ 08 \ 08.2$	2003 Jun 04	800	3
19024+0044		19 05 02.06	$+00 \ 48 \ 50.9$	2003 Jun 02	2400	138
19114+0002	AFGL 2343	19 13 58.61	$+00\ 07\ 31.9$	2003 Jun 02	26	55
19292+1806		19 31 25.37	+18 13 10.3	2004 Nov 08	600	137.4
19306+1407		19 32 55.09	+14 13 36.9	2003 Jun 04	1800	11
19343+2926	M1-92	19 36 18.91	+29 32 50.0	2003 Jun 02	1800	131
19374+2359		19 39 35.55	+24 06 27.1	2003 Jun 04	1800	15
19475+3119		19 49 29.56	+31 27 16.3	2003 Jun 02	40	112
19477+2401	Cloverleaf Nebula	19 49 54.91	+24 08 53.3	2003 Jun 03	1800	91
19520+2759		19 54 05.87	+28 07 40.6	2003 Jun 04	1500	139
20028+3910		20 04 35.98	+39 18 44.5	2004 Nov 08	600	165
20136+1309		20 16 00.51	+13 18 56.3	2003 Jun 02	1500	20
20462+3416	LS II +34 26	20 48 16.64	+34 27 24.3	2003 Jun 02	1660	63
21282+5050		21 29 58.48	+51 04 00.3	2003 Jun 04	600	165
22036+5306		22 05 30.28	+53 21 32.8	2003 Jun 04	2100	66
22574+6609		22 59 18.36	+66 25 48.3	2004 Nov 08	3900	130

NOTES.—All sources were observed with Keck-II ESI except for IRAS 17150–3224 and IRAS 17440–3310, which were observed with Magellan-I MIKE (see § 2); Coordinates are 2MASS J2000.0. Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds.

^a P.A. changed from 85.9° to 102.1° .

^b P.A. changed from 110.3° to 121.5° .

the first direct observation of the spatio-kinematic structure of a fast collimated PAGB wind (jet) in a "pristine" stage, i.e., not strongly altered by the interaction with the AGB shell (Sánchez Contreras & Sahai 2001). The characterization of the pristine jet in Hen 3-1475, which is collimated and expands at more than 2000 km s^{-1} at only $\approx 10^{16} \text{ cm}$ from the central star, has had a direct impact on the different theories of PAGB wind collimation, ruling out purely hydrodynamical processes as the collimation agent in this object.

Recognizing the importance of optical spectroscopy of PAGB objects we have recently obtained optical long-slit spectra of a large sample of objects evolving off the AGB, most of them PPNs and young PNs, in order to characterize the PAGB mass-loss process and the jet-sculpting of AGB winds. This forms part of our extensive, multiwavelength survey program of imaging and spectroscopic observations of OH/IR stars (evolved mass-losing stars with OH maser emission), which may be the youngest PPNs (e.g., Sahai et al. 2007a; Sánchez Contreras & Sahai 2004).

In this paper, we present the spectroscopic database resulting from our observations. Our work complements recent optical spectroscopic surveys of PPNs/PNs (e.g., Suárez et al. 2006; Pereira & Miranda 2007) by providing deep, high spectral resolution spectra covering a wide wavelength range. Here, we focus on the characterization and analysis of the different types of H α profiles and their correlation with several stellar and envelope parameters. The shape of the line profile is interpreted in terms of present-day mass loss in these objects, which enable obtaining information on their on-going PAGB winds. We have also derived spectral types based on the absorption line spectrum of our targets. Whenever available, the O I 7771–7775 Å infrared triplet have been used as a luminosity indicator.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1. Spectroscopy with ESI at the 10 m Keck II Telescope

Observations of most targets (28 sources) were carried out in 2002 and 2003, using the Echellete Spectrograph and Imager (ESI; Sheinis et al. 2002) in echelle mode mounted on the 10 m W. M. Keck II telescope at Mauna Kea (Hawaii). Table 1 presents a journal of the observations. The detector was a MIT-LL CCD with 2048 × 4096 pixels of 15 μ m. Total wavelength coverage is ~3900–10900 Å. The reciprocal dispersion and the pixel angular scale range from 0.16 to 0.30 Å pixel⁻¹ and from 0.120" to 0.168", respectively, for the 10 echellette orders (15 to 6) of ESI. The velocity dispersion has a nearly constant value of 11.5 km s⁻¹ pixel⁻¹ in all orders. We used a 0.5" × 20" slit. For extended objects with known morphology the slit was centered on the nucleus of the nebula and oriented along its main symmetry axis. For the rest of the objects the slit was oriented along the paralactic angle. For the object IRAS 05506+2414, we

observed two different positions centered on the compact sources labeled as Sa and Sb in the *HST* images presented in Sahai et al. (2007a). Seeing ranged between ~0.6" and ~0.9". Weather conditions were photometric only for the nights 2003 June 4 and 2004 August 11.

All spectra were reduced using the IRAF⁵ package following the standard procedure. The long-slit spectra were bias-subtracted (using the IRAF task esibias) and flat fielded. Individual exposures for each object were combined and cosmic rays removed. One-dimensional (1D) spectra were extracted using the IRAF task apall. We used extraction apertures large enough to include all the nebular emission (except for Hen 3-1475; see \S 3). Background regions were defined on each side of the aperture separated by a buffer zone for the different orders. The background level in these regions was fitted and subtracted. Wavelength calibration was done using exposures of copper-argon (CuAr) calibration lamps and the night sky lines. The comparison spectra were extracted using the same aperture as the objects. Lines were identified in the calibration spectra and a dispersion solution was found for, and applied to, each of our science targets. The velocity resolution achieved (FWHM of the lamp lines) is \sim 37 km s⁻¹ for all orders. Flux calibration was obtained by observing a number of spectrophotometric standard stars (Hiltner 600, Feige 56, LTT 1788, BD +28°4211, BD +33°2642, and Feige 110) using a 6" wide slit.

2.2. Spectroscopy with MIKE at the 6.5 m Magellan-I Telescope

Spectra for IRAS 17150-3224 and IRAS 17440-3310 were obtained at the 6.5 m Magellan-I (Baade) telescope in Las Campanas Observatory (Chile) using the Magellan Inamori Kyocera Echelle (MIKE) spectrograph (Bernstein et al. 2003). (We also observed IRAS 17441-2411 with MIKE; however, the ESI spectrum for this source has a larger signal-to-noise ratio [S/N], and therefore, MIKE data are not presented.) Observations were carried out on 2003 April 27-28. For the configuration used in these observations, the wavelength ranges covered were \sim 3200–5000 and 4900–7300 Å, respectively, for the blue and red arms. Each arm is equipped with a 2048×4096 15 μ m pixels CCD. We used a 1'' wide slit, leading to a resolving power of $R \simeq 28,000$ (22,000) for the blue-arm (red-arm) spectra. The images were binned 2×2 for a final reciprocal dispersion of ~ 0.04 and 0.10 Å pixel⁻¹, respectively, for the blue and red arms, and a spatial scale of $\sim 0.28''$ pixel⁻¹. Since our targets were more extended than the length of the slit projected onto the sky (5''), blanksky spectra with the same exposure time as for our source were taken right after observing each object for background subtraction. The average seeing was $\sim 1''$ ($\sim 1.5''$) for the night when IRAS 17150-3224 (IRAS 17440-3310) was observed.

For IRAS 17440–3310 and IRAS 17150–3224 the slit was centered on the nebular nucleus and the northwest lobe, respectively. The PAs along which the slits were oriented rotated during the exposure $\pm 5^{\circ}-7^{\circ}$ around the position quoted in Table 1, because MIKE is located in one of the Nasmyth platforms of the Magellan telescope but it is not attached to the instrument rotator nor does it incorporate any mechanism to compensate for the field rotation. This setup provides excellent stability but implies that the position of the slit in the sky changes continuously as the observation progresses.

These spectra were reduced using the MIKE pipeline developed by D. Kelson (see Kelson et al. 2000; Kelson 2003 for an extensive description of some of the numerical methods used). Although observations were performed under nonphotometric conditions, we corrected the data for the wavelength-dependent response of the system by acquiring spectra of the spectrophotometric standard stars HR 3454, HR 4468, HR 7596, HR 8634, and HR 7950. All the spectra were wavelength calibrated using observations of a lamp of Thorium-Argon taken right after observing each target. The velocity resolution achieved (FWHM of the lamp lines) is nearly constant for all the orders: $\sim 12-13$ km s⁻¹ for the red arm and ~ 10 km s⁻¹ for the blue arm. One-dimensional spectra were extracted using the total length of the slit as the aperture. Our spectra probably do not include emission from the ends of the lobes of IRAS 17150-3224 and IRAS 17440-3310, which lie outside of the slit.

2.3. The Sample

The objects observed in this work are listed in Tables 1 and 2. In Table 2 we give the type of H α profile assigned in this study $(\S 3.1)$ together with the class of object, the spectral type of the central star, the f 12/f 25 IRAS flux ratio, the 60 μ m IRAS flux (f60), the morphology of the optical and/or near-infrared (IR) nebula, and the chemistry. We have adopted the primary morphology classification system by Sahai et al. (2007a) which establishes four main classes of nebular shapes: bipolar (B), multipolar (M), elongated (E), and irregular (I). We have also included information on two secondary structural features defined by Sahai et al., namely, the presence of a dark obscuring waist across the center of the nebula (denoted by "w") and direct visibility of the central star in the optical or near-IR (denoted by "*"). Objects with starlike appearance in the HST images, i.e., with no nebulosity detected around them, are denoted as stellar (S). References for the spectral type, published high-angular resolution images (optical and/or near-IR), and chemistry are given in the last column of Table 2. Many of the objects in our sample are listed in the The Torun Catalog of Galactic Post-AGB and Related Objects by Szczerba et al. (2007), where additional information and references can be found.

Our sample has been mainly selected from our multiwavelength surveys of OH/IR stars (e.g., Sahai et al. 2007a; Sánchez Contreras & Sahai 2004) based on their *IRAS* colors and identification of optical counterparts. OH/IR stars generally show double-peaked OH-maser emission-line profiles, are strong IR sources, and are believed to be evolved mass-losing stars with dense CSEs. The *IRAS* spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of most of our targets show a lack of hot dust ($F_{12} < F_{25}$), indicating a recent cessation of the large-scale AGB mass-loss process, which is believed to signal the beginning of PAGB evolution. The complex, aspherical morphologies of most objects as seen in high-angular resolution images indicate that PAGB wind interactions are taking, or have taken, place in these sources.

Although our sample is composed in its majority of PPNs, it also includes five long-period variable (AGB) stars: IRAS 01037+ 1219 (IRC +10011), IRAS 02316+6455 (V656 Cas), IRAS 03507+1115 (IK Tau), IRAS 09452+1330 (IRC +10216) and IRAS 10131+3049 (CIT 6). Their *IRAS* colors ($F_{12} > F_{25}$) indicate that the heavy AGB mass-loss process is still going on. The large-scale envelopes around these objects are roughly circularly symmetric; however, the core nebular structures are often asymmetric and display bipolar or irregular/clumpy morphologies. This indicates that these objects are likely precursors of bipolar PPNs in which the jet-sculpting of the AGB CSE by interaction with collimated (probably fast) outflows has already started. Our sample

⁵ IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

OPTICAL SPECTROSCOPY OF EVOLVED STARS

	TABLE 2									
TELLAR	AND	Envelope	PARAMETERS	FOR	THE	DIFFERENT	TYPES	of $H\alpha$	PROFILE	s

IRAS Name Object Class Spectral Type $f12/725$ $(0y)$ Morphology ⁴ (Optical/NR) CO^b References Pure Emission Profiles (PE) Object Class CQ^b 206 5652.0 Bw C 24 Intermission Profiles (PE) Profiles (PCyg) Display=10.24 70.9 B C $7, 25$ P Cygni Profiles (PCyg) Display=10.24 70.9 B C $7, 25$ P Cygni Profiles (PCyg) Display=10.23 70.9 B C $7, 25$ PDN PSI C $23.103.4$ I O $15.26.23$ PDN $PSI C 23.4 172.25 PDN PBI C 10.06.31.8 B \times CO 23.4 10.066 11.0.66 13.4 M \times O 1, 15.23.24 $		STELLAR AND	Envelope Parametei	rs for the Diff	FERENT TYPES C	of H α Profiles		
Pure Emission Profiles (PE) 09452+1330	IRAS Name	Object Class	Spectral Type	f12/f25	f60 (Jy)	Morphology ^a (Optical/NIR)	C/O ^b	References
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Pure Em	ission Profiles (PE)			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	09452+1330	AGB	C9.5	2.06	5652.0	Bw	С	24
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	10131+3049 ^c	AGB	C4,3e	2.72	273.6	B*	С	7, 25
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	19374+2359	YPN	B3-6 I	0.24	70.9	В	Ο	15, 24, 23
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			P Cygr	ni Profiles (PCy	g)			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	05506+2414-Sa	YSO ^e	G9-K2	0.23	103.4	Ι	0	15, 16
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	08005-2356	PPN	F5 Ie	0.35	29.8	B*	C/O	23, 24
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17423-1755	YPN	Be	0.25	63.7	Bw*	0	15, 23
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17516-2525	PPN	O-B?	0.45	100.1	S	0	17, 26
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	19024+0044	PPN	G0-5	0.06	42.5	Mw	0	14, 15
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19306+1407	YPN	B0-1 I	0.06	31.8	B*	C/O	8, 15
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19343+2926	PPN	B2 (+F5)	0.29	118.0	Bw*	О	1, 15
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	19520+2759	YPN	O9 I?	0.39	207.0	S	О	4, 17
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20462+3416	YPN	B1 Iae	0.02	12.1	E*	0	4, 22, 24
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21282+5050	YPN	O9.5,WC11	0.68	33.4	M*	С	2, 15
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22036+5306	PPN	F4-7	0.18	107.2	Bw★	О	13, 15
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	22574+6609	PPN	A1-6 I	0.31	20.6	I/Bw	С	18, 21
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Emission-filled	Absorption Prot	files (EFA)			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	04296+3429 ^d	PPN	F3 I	0.28	15.4	Bw(*?)	С	5, 11, 15, 23, 24
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17317-2743	PPN	G4 I	0.04	29.5	Bw^{f}	0	10, 20, 22
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17440-3310	PPN	F3 I	0.20	30.1	B★(w?)	0	15, 20
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17441–2411 ^d	PPN	F4-5 I	0.22	106.0	Bw*	C?	11, 15, 22, 23, 24
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18167–1209 ^d	PPN	F7 I	0.16	21.3	S	0	10, 17
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19114+0002	PPN/YHG?	F5-7 Ia	0.05	516.0	E*	О	12, 22, 24, 27
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19475+3119	PPN	F3 Ib	0.01	55.8	M*	О	15, 23
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20028+3910	PPN	F3-7 I	0.20	143.0	Bw	С	15, 23, 24
Pure Absorption Profiles (PA) 17150-3224 PPN F3-7 I 0.18 268.3 Bw* O 3, 15, 24 19477+2401 PPN G0 I 0.20 27.1 M C 15, 21, 22 H α Nondetections 01037+1219 AGB M7 1.19 215.2 E O 7, 9, 15 02316+6455 AGB M8 1.53 45.8 S O 7, 9, 15 0307+1115 AGB M6e-M10e 1.95 332.1 I O 7, 9 19292+1806 PPN B? 0.10 28.8: Bw O 15, 18 15, 18	20136+1309	PPN	F3–7 I	0.44	2.1	S	O?	21, 23, 24
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Pure Abso	orption Profiles	(PA)			
19477+2401 PPN G0 I 0.20 27.1 M C 15, 21, 22 Hα Nondetections 01037+1219 AGB M7 1.19 215.2 E O 7, 9, 15 02316+6455 AGB M8 1.53 45.8 S O 7, 17 03507+1115 AGB M6e-M10e 1.95 332.1 I O 7, 9 19292+1806 PPN B? 0.10 28.8: Bw O 15, 18	17150-3224	PPN	F3-7 I	0.18	268.3	Bw★	0	3, 15, 24
Hα Nondetections 01037+1219 AGB M7 1.19 215.2 E O 7, 9, 15 02316+6455 AGB M8 1.53 45.8 S O 7, 17 03507+1115 AGB M6e–M10e 1.95 332.1 I O 7, 9 19292+1806 PPN B? 0.10 28.8: Bw O 15, 18	19477+2401	PPN	G0 I	0.20	27.1	Μ	С	15, 21, 22
01037+1219 AGB M7 1.19 215.2 E O 7, 9, 15 02316+6455 AGB M8 1.53 45.8 S O 7, 17 03507+1115 AGB M6e-M10e 1.95 332.1 I O 7, 9 19292+1806 PPN B? 0.10 28.8: Bw O 15, 18			Ηα	Nondetections				
02316+6455 AGB M8 1.53 45.8 S O 7, 17 03507+1115 AGB M6e-M10e 1.95 332.1 I O 7, 9 19292+1806 PPN B ? 0.10 28.8: Bw O 15, 18	01037+1219	AGB	M7	1.19	215.2	Е	0	7, 9, 15
03507+1115 AGB M6e-M10e 1.95 332.1 I O 7, 9 19292+1806 PPN B? 0.10 28.8: Bw O 15, 18	02316+6455	AGB	M8	1.53	45.8	S	0	7, 17
19292+1806 PPN B? 0.10 28.8: Bw O 15, 18	03507+1115	AGB	M6e-M10e	1.95	332.1	Ι	0	7, 9
	19292+1806	PPN	B?	0.10	28.8:	Bw	0	15, 18

Note.—Boldfaced spectral types have been estimated in this work (see \S 4.2).

^a Main morphology descriptors defined by Sahai et al. (2007a). B: bipolar, M: multipolar, E: elongated, I: irregular, S: stellar (i.e., unresolved in HST images), w: central obscuring waist, \star : central star evident.

^b O: Oxygen rich; C: Carbon rich; C/O: mixed chemistry.

^c Uncertain profile due to weak $H\alpha$ emission blended with other lines.

^d Uncertain profile; could also be PA.

^e YSO serendipitously discovered in our survey of PPNs; see § 1.

^f No optical image available; our long-slit spectrum shows two nebulosities separated by a dark lane, i.e., most likely a bipolar nebula.

REFERENCES.—(1) Arrieta et al. 2005; (2) Crowther et al. 1998; (3) Davis et al. 2005; (4) Gledhill 2005; (5) Klochkova et al. 1999; (6) Klochkova et al. 2002; (7) Loup et al. 1993; (8) Lowe & Gledhill 2007; (9) Mauron & Huggins 2006; (10) Nyman et al. 1998; (11) Oppenheimer & Davé 2006; (12) Reddy & Hrivnak 1999; (13) Sahai et al. 2003; (14) Sahai et al. 2005; (15) Sahai et al. 2007a; (16) Sahai et al. 2008; (17) R. Sahai et al. in preparation (HST GO 9463, 10185); (18) Sánchez Contreras et al. 2006a, 2006b; (19) Schmidt et al. 2002; (20) Sevenster 2002; (21) Su et al. 2001; (22) Suárez et al. 2006; (23) Szczerba et al. 2007: The Torun Catalogue of Galactic Post-AGB and Related Objects; (24) Ueta et al. 2000; (25) Ueta et al. 2007; (26) van der Veen et al. 1989; (27) Začs et al. 1996.

also contains several young PNs (YPNs). We have adopted the classification as YPNs for objects with O- and B-type central stars surrounded by newly formed, compact H $\scriptstyle\rm II$ regions like the well-known PAGB objects M1-92 and Hen 3-1475 (see Table 2). We have also included in our sample the object IRAS 19114+0002 (also known as AFGL 2343), which has a controversial evolution-

ary status. IRAS 19114+0002 has been classified as either a PPN descended from low- or intermediate-mass progenitor (Reddy & Hrivnak 1999) or a yellow hypergiant (YHG) descended from a massive (\sim 30 M_{\odot}) Population I supergiant (e.g., Jura & Werner 1999; Castro-Carrizo et al. 2007). YHGs are believed to follow an evolutionary path similar to that of PPNs; they undergo a strong



FIG. 1.19

FIG. SET 1.— Spectrum of IRAS 19306+1407 observed with ESI is shown here as an example. In some panels, the spectrum is also plotted using a smaller flux scale for clarity (*gray line*). [See the electronic edition of the Supplement for Figs. 1.1-1.30, showing spectra for all sources.]

mass-loss process leading to massive CSEs, while their central stars become progressively hotter; therefore, we consider appropriate to include IRAS 19114+0002 in our observations. The source IRAS 05506+2414, although it satisfies our selection criteria, is most likely not an evolved star. This enigmatic outflow source was serendipitously discovered as part of our multiwavelength survey of PPNs and is probably associated with an early stage of a massive star's life (Sahai et al. 2008). The ESI spectra of IRAS 05506+2414 taken at position *Sa* (see § 2.1) is presented here for completeness, but this object is not further discussed except for spectral type assignation (§ 4.2). Finally, although most of the objects in this study are O-rich, we have also incorporated some C-rich envelopes as well as objects with a mixed or uncertain chemistry.

Our sample is not complete on any of the properties summarized in Table 2, but rather should be considered as a pilot sample. As happens in other studies based on optical data, our sample is necessarily biased toward objects with optical counterparts. This implies that we may be missing PAGB objects heavily obscured by thick, dusty envelopes, i.e., probably the most massive and youngest PAGB stars. What is the fraction of such massive, heavily obscured objects and what is the mass/age threshold in our sample is very difficult to determine, because the star and envelope evolution (as well as their mutual influence) along the AGB and PAGB phases, which ultimately determine when the envelope becomes visible in the optical, remain very poorly characterized.

3. RESULTS

For most of the targets, our two-dimensional (2D), longslit spectra show spatially unresolved or marginally resolved emission. For the sources IRAS 05506+2414, IRAS 17423-1755, IRAS 19343+2926, IRAS 21282+5050, IRAS 22036+ 5306, IRAS 17317-2743, IRAS 17440-3310, IRAS 17441-2411, and IRAS 17150–3224, the nebular emission is resolved. The 2D spectra of these spatially resolved nebulae will be studied in detail in the future. In this paper, we present and discuss extracted 1D spectra obtained using an aperture that includes emission from the whole nebula. In most cases, the spectrum is dominated by that of the bright nucleus, the emission from the extended nebulosity being undetected or very faint. In the case of Hen 3-1475, the aperture has been chosen to include emission only from the bright nebular core (inner 2'') and leaving outside the emission from the shocked, axial knots that are well separated from the nucleus (e.g., Sánchez Contreras & Sahai 2001). For the objects in our sample with optically thick equatorial regions (a total of eight; see references given in Table 2), the light from the nucleus, including the stellar continuum, is indirectly seen scattered off by the dust in the lobes.

The spectra of our targets are composed of a number of absorption and/or emission lines superimposed on a fairly red continuum (Fig. Set 1). Most absorption lines are expected to be of photospheric origin, whereas emission (recombination and forbidden)



Fig. 2.—Spectra in the region around the H α line for objects with H α emission, i.e., PCyg and PE sources (Table 2). In some cases, the spectrum is also plotted using a smaller scale for clarity (*gray line*). [See the electronic edition of the Supplement for a color version of this figure.]

lines are most likely nebular. Other absorption features that may have an interstellar or circumstellar origin, such as the diffuse bands at 4430, 5780, 5797, and 6284 Å (e.g., Luna et al. 2008 and references therein), are also observed in some of our targets. The continuum emission is dominated by the stellar photospheric continuum (we have checked that the contribution by nebular continuum is negligible, as expected, even for the objects displaying the most intense H α emission).

3.1. Hydrogen Lines

Half of the objects in our sample (15 out of 30) show H α mainly in emission (Fig. 2) and 11 targets show H α mainly in absorption



(Fig. 3). We have also found three sources (all of them are AGB stars) with neither H α emission nor absorption and one object (the PPN IRAS 19292+1806) in which the low S/N of the spectrum prevents us from determining whether H α emission or absorption is present.

Based on the H α profile we can identify objects of different types (Table 2). Among the sources where H α is observed mainly in emission (Fig. 2), we find two basic types of profiles, namely, symmetric and asymmetric. Only two sources belong to the first category (referred to as pure emission sources; PE): the YPN IRAS 19374+2359 and the AGB star IRAS 09452+1330 (IRC +10216). In CIT 6 there is strong line blending around the H α line;⁶ since H α cannot be isolated, determining the shape of its profile is not possible. The rest of the H α -emitting sources, which is also the majority (12 out of 15), show signs (in a different manner and amount) of absorption blueward of the line core, which is responsible for their asymmetric profiles. Most sources show clear P Cygni-like profiles, i.e., with a narrow/sharp blueshifted absorption feature against the line wings. IRAS 08005-2356 and IRAS 19024+0044 display two of the most remarkable P Cygni profiles among the objects in this category (referred to as PCyg). In IRAS 19520+2759 (and tentatively IRAS 17516-2525 and IRAS 19306+1407), not one but two different blueshifted absorption features are observed. In three objects (He 3-1475, IRAS 21282+5050, and IRAS 20462+3416), the H α blue wing is clearly weaker than the red one. Although a sharp blueshifted absorption is not observed in our spectra, these objects belong to the PCyg class. In the case of the well-known YPN Hen 3-1475, HST STIS optical spectra show a remarkable H α P Cygni profile with two distinct blueshifted absorption features well delineated against the line wings (Sánchez Contreras & Sahai 2001). These absorption features appear smoothed out in our ESI spectra and, more generally, in ground-based observations probably due to their blending with emission components arising in different nebular regions superimposed within the point-spread function (PSF). In the case of IRAS 21282+5050 and IRAS 20462+3416, P Cygnilike profiles are observed in other H I and He I lines (see, e.g., H β in Fig. 4), which supports H α having a true, but partially masked out, P Cygni profile. Moreover, further confirmation of P Cygni line profiles exists from previous spectroscopic observations of both IRAS 21282+5050 and IRAS 20462+3416 (Smith & Lambert 1994; Arrieta & Torres-Peimbert 2003 and references therein).

In most of the objects showing $H\alpha$ absorption (Fig. 3), the line profile is found to be partially filled with emission (see a detailed fitting of the different absorption and emission components of the profile in \S 4.1). We refer to this objects as emission filled absorption sources (EFA). The emission component is quite prominent, reaching or exceeding the continuum level, for example, in IRAS 17440-3310, IRAS 19114+0002, and IRAS 19475+3119. In other cases, the H α absorption shows a peculiar, structured profile with an emerging emission feature or "hump" blueward of the narrow, deep absorption core: e.g., IRAS 04296+3429, IRAS 17441-2411, and IRAS 18167-1209. Such complex emissionabsorption H α profiles are most likely the result of the superposition of two lines of different origin: a photospheric absorption core and an emission component that originates in the stellar close surroundings (see further discussion in \S 5.3). There are only two objects in our sample, IRAS 17150-3224 and IRAS 19477+2401, for which the H α line presents a pure absorption (PA) profile, at least, within the limited S/N of our data. In a number of EFA and PA objects, the H α absorption profile has two components: a deep, narrow central absorption feature and broad absorption wings. Similar H α profiles are typically found in F-type supergiants, in which the broad wings are most likely due to the Stark broadening mechanism operating at deep layers of the stellar atmosphere, whereas the narrow component is consistent with being formed in the low surface gravity photosphere of these stars. The blue emission-hump observed in the absorption profile of some objects is also commonly found in F-type supergiants.

We briefly discuss now the profile of other recombination H I lines, whenever they are detected, and compare it with that of H α . As expected, none of the three AGB stars with H α nondetections show other H I lines either in absorption or emission. In the PPN IRAS 19292+1806, which is not detected in H α because of low S/N in that spectral region, weak Paschen lines (from $Pa_{16\rightarrow3}$ at 8502 Å to $Pa_{9\rightarrow3}$ at 9229 Å) are seen in absorption. For PA and EFA objects, whenever other lines of the Balmer or Paschen series are detected they show pure absorption profiles; i.e., the incipient emission seen in H α for EFA objects is not visible in other H I lines. Among the two objects for which H α is observed with a pure emission profile there are no other H I lines detected except for CIT 6, which shows H β emission with a quite broad, most likely blended profile. Except for IRAS 17516-2525 and IRAS 22574+6609 (see below), all sources with H α P Cygni profiles display the same type of profile in one or more of the Balmer lines and also, in some cases, in the Paschen series (observable in our ESI spectra from the Paschen discontinuity at 8200 Å to $Pa_{7\rightarrow3}$ at 10049.4 Å; note, however, that the Pa lines from upper levels n = 16, 15, and 13 at 8502, 8545, and 8665 Å,respectively, are usually blended with the near-IR Ca II triplet and determining their profiles unambiguously is difficult). The P Cygni profile is more easily recognized and remarkable in H β than in H α , in which the intense emission wings partially infill the absorption

⁶ We note that the features around H α are not due to the noise but represent real emission lines, many of which are also observable in the spectrum of IRC +10216.



Fig. 3.—Spectra in the region around the H α line for objects with H α absorption, i.e., EFA and PA sources (Table 2). The spectra of IRAS 17150–3224 and IRAS 19477+2401 have been boxcar smoothed (using a flat-topped kernel of 5 pixels) to increase S/N.

component (see, e.g., IRAS 21282+5050; Figs. 2 and 4). The absorption component of the P Cygni profile appears more prominent (sharper and deeper) relative to the emission for bluer Balmer lines. This is partially an effect of the emission component becoming progressively weaker for the recombination lines from higher levels (which are expected to be less and less populated as the quantum number *n* increases). In some cases, the emission component almost disappears completely for the bluest Balmer lines observed by us (H γ and H δ), resulting in a blueshifted pure absorption profile. In contrast to the emission component, the absorption



FIG. 4.—Spectra in the region around H β for sources with H α P Cygni–like profiles (Table 2). The spectra of the PCyg sources IRAS 17516–2525 and IRAS 22574+ 6609, for which S/N is <3 in this region are not plotted. For IRAS 20462+3416 and IRAS 21282+5050, the spectrum is also plotted using a smaller scale for clarity. [See the electronic edition of the Supplement for a color version of this figure.]

strongly depends on the population of level n = 2, which is the same for all the Balmer lines. IRAS 17516–2525 and IRAS 22574+6609 are the two only PCyg sources for which Pa lines are observed with a PE and PA profile, respectively, i.e., non–P Cygni. (In both cases, the S/N in the spectral region of the Balmer series is too low to determine whether or not these lines are present.)

3.1.1. Variability of the H α Profile

We have noticed differences in the H α profiles of some of our targets with respect to earlier observations. Here, we describe and briefly discuss such differences for compact (unresolved or marginally resolved) objects in which the observed variations most likely represent real changes, e.g., are unlikely to be due to the different slit width and orientation in our spectra and earlier data sets.

IRAS 19114+0002.—Our H α profile shows a narrow absorption feature ($\lambda_{obs} = 6564.5$ Å) and two adjacent (blue- and red-shifted) emission components (Fig. 3). There is another absorption

feature blueward of H α ($\lambda_{obs} = 6561.1$ Å) that is most likely the Ti 1 λ 6559.57 line as suggested by Začs et al. (1996). In fact, the center of the H α absorption component and that attributed to the Ti I line yield a very similar value of the systemic velocity, $V_{LSR} = 111$ and 104 km s⁻¹, respectively, in good agreement with earlier results from other absorption lines and CO measurements (e.g., Reddy & Hrivnak 1999; Bujarrabal et al. 2001 and references therein). Začs et al. (1996) also observed a doublepeaked emission component and a narrow absorption core in the H α profile of this object, i.e., a shell profile, however, in our spectrum the red emission component is stronger than the blue one, in contrast to what these authors found. Moreover, the velocity difference between the two emission peaks measured by us, ~145 km s⁻¹, is larger than that observed by Začs et al. (1996) ~128 km s⁻¹.

IRAS 19475+3119.—Variations of the H α profile observed with ESI with respect to previous measurements are reported by Sahai et al. (2007b). Our H α profile shows a broad absorption

feature and a narrow inverse P Cygni shaped core (Fig. 3). The $H\alpha$ profile observed by Klochkova et al. (2002) shows similar broad absorption wings; however, the line core shows two emission peaks neighboring a deep, narrow absorption feature. Klochkova et al. (2002) also present and compare their $H\alpha$ profiles for three different epochs and show that the intensity of the red (blue) emission peak gradually increases (decreases) with time. The line shape observed by us fits then quite well with the observed trend, representing the extreme case in which the blue peak has disappeared completely.

IRAS 20462+3416.—Our H α profile is different from that previously reported by Smith & Lambert (1994) and García-Lario et al. (1997b) in particular, the blueshifted absorption component of the P Cygni profile is less pronounced in our observations. These authors already noticed strong changes in the profile of H α and other lines in a time scale of days, which are interpreted in terms of mass-loss episodes (see also Arkhipova et al. 2001a). We confirm such changes in some recombination lines, for example, we detect the He I λ 6678 line in absorption; however, this line has had a remarkable P Cygni profile in the past.

AGB stars: IRC+10216, CIT 6, and IK Tau.—Line emission in long-period variable stars is known to be a transient phenomenon. H α emission was not present in the spectrum of the C-rich variable star IRC +10216 observed by Trammell et al. (1994) but was detected by Cohen & Schmidt (1982) as well as in this work. The other C-rich AGB star in our sample, CIT 6, has gone through emission-line phases showing intense Balmer line emission and other nonhydrogen lines like [O I], [N II], and [S II] suggestive of emission from a low-excitation shocked zone (Cohen 1980; Cohen & Schmidt 1982; Trammell et al. 1994). In our ESI spectrum, H α appears much weaker than in earlier works and is blended with other (presumably metallic) lines with similar intensity. Finally, we do not detect H α or any other emission lines in the O-rich Mira variable IK Tau; however, this object must have shown line emission in the past according to its M6e-M10e assignation in the General Catalogue of Variable Stars (GCVS).

3.2. Non-Hydrogen Line Emission Spectrum

Most objects in our sample with intense H α emission (i.e., PCyg and PE) also exhibit other emission lines by heavier elements. In two cases, namely, the YPNs M1-92 and Hen 3-1475, the underlying stellar absorption spectrum is totally masked out by a wealth (hundreds to thousands) of emission lines by different neutral and ionized atoms, like He I, N I, N II, C I, O I, O II, S II, Fe I, Fe II, ... (see full spectra of our targets in Fig. Set 1); a comprehensive list of nebular emission lines identified in the optical spectrum of M1-92 (many of them also detected in Hen 3-1475) is reported by Arrieta et al. (2005). The rest of the H α -emitting sources in our sample, which is also the majority, show a small or moderate number of emission lines, lacking many of the intense forbidden emission lines typical of evolved PNs with hot ($T_{\rm eff} \gg$ 30,000 K) central stars (e.g., van de Steene et al. 1996a, 1996b). Although the spectrum of the two AGB stars in our sample with $H\alpha$ emission, IRC +10216 and CIT 6, is clearly shaped by molecular (e.g., VO, TiO, and ZrO) absorption bands and metallic absorption lines typical of C-rich late-type stars, several weak emission lines are also detected. Hydrogen and metallic emission lines are known to be present in the spectrum of long-period variables at certain phases of their pulsational cycle (e.g., Castelaz et al. 2000 and references therein). Such emission lines are interpreted as due to heating of the stellar atmosphere by shock waves. In these two objects we also detect very prominent C₂ Swan bands $\lambda 6059$ (2,4), $\lambda 6122$ (1,3), and $\lambda 6191$ (0,2). To our knowledge, this is the first time that these particular bands are reported in these objects.

In the spectrum of CIT 6, we also detect the band λ 5097 (2,2) and tentatively λ 5070 (3,3), λ 5635 (0,1), and λ 5585 (1,2). The Swan bands of the C₂ molecule are commonly observed in C-rich stars and comets (see, e.g., Klochkova et al. 1999; Bieging et al. 2006 and references therein).

Most sources in which $H\alpha$ is observed in absorption, i.e., with a PA or EFA profiles, have a spectrum dominated by absorption lines with no hint of nebular emission lines. The central stars of PA and EFA objects all have F and G spectral types; therefore, the lack of emission lines is consistent with these relatively cool stars not being able to ionize a significant fraction of their circumstellar material. The are only three objects in which $H\alpha$ is seen in absorption that also exhibit weak emission features: IRAS 04296+ 3429, IRAS 19114+0002, and IRAS 20136+1309. (We also find tentatively one weak emission line at ~6604 Å in the spectrum of IRAS 19475+3119, which could be identified with the very low excitation line Ni I λ 6604.29.)

Two relatively intense emission features around 5632 and 5583 Å are observed in the compact (unresolved) nuclear region of IRAS 04296+3429 (Fig. 1.4). These lines, which are very broad (FWZI \sim 5 Å) and have a peculiar, triangular profile, are identified as the emission bands (0,1) and (1,2) of the Swan system of the C₂ molecule at 5635 and 5585 Å, respectively. The (0,0) λ 5165, (1,1) λ 5129, (0,2) λ 6188 C₂ bands are also detected with a smaller S/N in our spectrum. The (0,1) and (0,0) Swan bands have been previously reported by Klochkova et al. (1999), whereas the other three vibrational transitions are reported by us for the first time. The emission band (1,0) λ 4735 is absent in our spectrum as well as in that presented by Klochkova et al. (1999). Hrivnak (1995), however, report detection of this band in *absorption* from lowresolution spectra. We confirm the presence of a weak emission feature around 4071 Å previously noticed by Hrivnak (1995). This line roughly coincides in wavelength with an emission feature (attributed to a blend of [S II] lines) observed in the C-rich PPN CRL 2688. There are two other unidentified emission lines in the spectrum of IRAS 04296+3429 at 8065.6 and 8102.9 Å. The narrow profile of these emission features is consistent with being atomic or ionic lines rather than molecular bands.

There are only two emission lines (other than $H\alpha$) observed in the spectrum of IRAS 19114+0002 that we identify as the [Ca II] $\lambda\lambda7291.47, 7323.89$ doublet. These lines, which arise in the compact (unresolved) circumnuclear region, are also observed in other objects in our sample: IRAS 17516-2525, M1-92, Hen 3-1475, IRAS 22036+5306, and IRAS 08005-2356, and tentatively in IRAS 19520+2759. (We cannot rule out or assert the presence of these lines in the spectra of IRC +10216 and CIT 6, which display many emission lines strongly blended in that region.) Since calcium has among the highest gas phase depletions, detection of these lines indicate that calcium is not depleted onto dust grains, either because the [Ca II] doublet emission arises in a dust-free environment or because Ca is liberated from the grains. We consider grain destruction by moderate-velocity shocks a likely explanation in the case of IRAS 19114+0002 and, more generally, for the PPNs and YPNs in our sample with [Ca II] emission (see, e.g., Hartigan et al. 1987). Moderate-speed motions are in fact deduced from the FWHM of the [Ca II] doublet in our targets, which range between 40 and 100 km s⁻¹. These values are larger than the typical expansion velocities of AGB CSEs and probably result from shock-acceleration produced by the PAGB-to-AGB wind interaction (§ 1). It is worth mentioning that the [Ca II] doublet is also observed in the young stellar object IRAS 05506, which shows a low-excitation shock spectrum (Sahai et al. 2008). The critical density for the [Ca II] doublet is $\sim 10^6$ cm⁻³; therefore, emission of these lines arises in a relatively tenuous gas.

	TABLE 3								
PARAMETERS	OF	THE	$H\alpha$	PROFILE	FOR	PCyg	AND	PE	Sources

			Emiss	ION	Absorption			
IRAS NAME	V _{sys} (LSR) (km s ⁻¹)	$V_e(LSR)$ (km s ⁻¹)	FWHM (km s ⁻¹)	FWZI (km s ⁻¹)	W_{λ} (Å)	<i>V</i> (km s ⁻¹)	$V_{\rm max}$ (km s ⁻¹)	FWHM (km s ⁻¹)
			PCyg Source	s				
08005-2356	$+47^{(4)}$	+101	190	$\sim \! 2400$	14	-85	-170	80
17423–1755	$+48^{(1)}$	+89	170	${\sim}4000$	110	а	а	а
17516-2525	$-15^{(6)}$	+35	100	$\sim \! 1400$	105	-40	-110	70
19024+0044	$+50^{(2)}$	+101	90	~ 2400	40	-100	-170	80
19306+1407	$+90^{(5)}$	+116	60	$\sim \! 2600$	15	-115	-170	50
19343+2926	-1(1)	+19	170	~ 3000	180	-530	-750	220
19520+2759	$-15^{(5)}$	-5	170	$\sim \! 2600$	130	-260	-420	120
						-530	-800	250
20462+3416	$-75^{(8)}$	-43	80	~ 2800	15	а	а	а
21282+5050	$+18^{(1)}$	+17	50	~ 500	23	а	а	а
22036+5306	-43(3)	+0	220	~ 2500	30	-150	-250	110
22574+6609	$-64^{(1)}$	-41	70	$\sim \! 400$	3	-50	-110	50
			PE Sources					
19374+2359	-35 ⁽⁵⁾	-7	90	[600:2000]	4			
09452+1330	-25 ⁽⁷⁾	-32	65	~150	4			

NOTE.—References for V_{sys}(LSR): (1) Bujarrabal et al. 2001; (2) Sahai et al. 2005; (3) Sahai et al. 2006; (4) Slijkhuis et al. 1991; (5) CO data from C. Sánchez Contreras et al. in preparation; (6) Nyman et al. 1998; (7) Teyssier et al. 2006; (8) Turner & Drilling (1984).

^a Absorption partially masked by emission within the PSF in our ESI spectra (see \S 3.1).

We detect a few weak emission lines in IRAS 20136+1309 (Fig. 1.26). Some of these features are also present in the spectra of the YPNs M1-92 and Hen 3-1475 and the PPNs IRAS 08005–2356 and IRAS 22036+5306, for example, the emission around 5955 and 7911, which we tentatively identify with Fe I λ 5956.7 and Fe I λ 7912.8, respectively, or the lines 7083 (Ti I λ 7084.2 or C I λ 7085.5?) and 8045 Å ([Cl IV] λ 8045.6 or Mg I λ 8047.7?).

4. ANALYSIS

4.1. Parameter Analysis of the H α -Profile

The presence of H α emission from the compact nebular core displaying either a PE, PCyg, or a structured EFA profile is interpreted as an indication of on-going (i.e., PAGB) mass-loss most likely in the form of a stellar wind (see also § 5). In this section, we parameterize and analyze the observed line profiles, which is needed to derive information on the current stellar wind and other processes that may be affecting the observed line shape.

4.1.1. PCyg and PE Sources

The parameters used to describe the H α profile for PCyg and PE sources are given in Table 3. The emission component of the $H\alpha$ profile consists of an intense core plus weak broad wings. In PCyg sources, the blue emission wing is affected by absorption, therefore, in order to estimate the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the emission and absorption components separately in these cases, we have fitted a Lorentz profile to the line emission core and wings. The observed H α profile has been subtracted from the Lorentzian fit to retrieve the absorption line-shape. In doing so, we are implicitly assuming that the *intrinsic* emission profile as produced in the nebula nucleus (i.e., before being altered by the absorption) is symmetric. Except for the FWHM of the absorption and emission lines, the rest of the parameters in Table 3 have been measured directly on the observed profile to avoid uncertainties resulting from the quality of the fit and/or the validity of our assumption of an intrinsic, symmetric emission

profile. Accordingly, the equivalent widths, W_{λ} , given in the table⁷ represent the total (emission plus absorption) equivalent widths. For PCyg sources, W_{λ} is then a lower limit to the equivalent width of the intrinsic nuclear emission. Given the typical depth of the absorption feature, the emission W_{λ} is expected to be underestimated by only a small factor (less than 2). The parameters of the absorption component V and V_{max} are, respectively, the Doppler shift of the centroid and the blue edge of the absorption feature relative to the emission peak, which is located at LSR V_e , in km s⁻¹. The values of the full width at zero intensity level (FWZI) depend on the noise level in our spectrum, and therefore, they must be regarded as lower limits. Among the three objects with PE H α profiles, the presence of broad wings is only confirmed for IRAS 19374+2359. In this case, however, the total width of the wings is uncertain partially due to the limited S/N of the spectrum and the poorly characterized shape of the underlying continuum. For the PE source CIT 6, the H α line is strongly blended with other emission features, and therefore, the line parameters, which are expected to be very inaccurate, have not been calculated.

In order to study whether Raman scattering could explain the broad wings, we also fitted the profile of the H α wings with a function of the type $I_{\lambda} \propto \lambda^{-2}$, which is expected if the wings are due to Raman scattering (see discussion in § 5.1). As shown in Figure 5, the fits are satisfactory for all sources except for IRAS 19306+1407 and IRAS 20462+3416, which display wings significantly more intense than the synthetic profile. In these two cases a shallower power law, e.g., of the type $\alpha \lambda^{-0.7}$, is needed. We note that IRAS 19306+1407 and IRAS 20462+3416 are among the objects with the broadest wings.

As shown in the table, the FWHM of the H α core emission ranges between \sim 50 and 200 km s⁻¹, whereas the wings reach widths of up to \pm 2000 km s⁻¹. The mean velocity of the bulk of

⁷ Although W_{λ} is normally defined to be positive (negative) for an absorption (emission) line, we express both the absorption and emission W_{λ} as a positive value for simplicity.



FIG. 5.—Fit to the H α wings of PCyg sources by a function of the type $I_{\lambda} \propto \lambda^{-2}$ (*dashed line*), which is expected for Raman scattering (§ 5.1). The broad-line wings of IRAS 19306+1407 and IRAS 20462+3416 cannot be reproduced by the fit. [See the electronic edition of the Supplement for a color version of this figure.]

the gas producing the absorption ranges between $V \sim 50$ and 500 km s⁻¹; however, larger outflow terminal velocities of up to $v_{\infty} \approx V_{\rm max} \sim 800 \, {\rm km \, s^{-1}}$ are observed (see § 5.1). No correlation has been found between the FWHM of the $H\alpha$ core component and the FWZI or W_{λ} of the line (Table 3). We also investigated the correlation between the H α -line parameters and the stellar spectral type but no obvious trend has been found; although it is true that the largest equivalent widths ($W_{\lambda} \gtrsim 100$ Å) are observed for objects with hot, O- and B-type central stars, very small values of W_{λ} $(\leq 20 \text{ Å})$ are found for IRAS 19306+1407, IRAS 20462+3416, and IRAS 21282+5050, which have central stars of similar early spectral types. The low values of W_{λ} in these cases are comparable to those measured in PCyg sources with stars with types later than B. For these cool (A through G) stars, no detectable H α emission is expected at all if photoionization were the only excitation agent in the stellar wind. The relatively large values of W_{λ} found in these cases are consistent with shocks being an important ionization mechanism. Alternatively, the large values of W_{λ} may indicate an additional source of energetic ultraviolet (UV) photons (for example, a hotter companion?). In a future work, we will attempt determining quantitatively the importance of shock excitation in the stellar wind using diagnostic diagrams for other emission lines arising in the close stellar environment.

The observed values of W_{λ} for objects with the hottest central stars in our sample are consistent with UV stellar radiation being the main ionization mechanism. In this case, the H α -line flux is directly proportional to the number of Lyman continuum photons $(N_{\rm Ly})$ emitted by the star. This is true if the H α -emitting region is radiation bounded. Under this assumption, we have computed W_{λ} for H α emission arising in a H II region around the star using the ionizing fluxes provided by Vacca et al. (1996) and Smith et al. (2002) for different spectral types and adopting a conversion factor from $N_{\rm Ly}$ per second to H α luminosity of 3.167×10^{-12} ergs (Brocklehurst 1971). The intensity of the stellar continuum near H α , which is needed to calculate W_{λ} , has been estimated from the M_V and R - V values given by Vacca et al. (1996) and Maíz-Apellániz et al. (2004) (see also Cox 2000). The flux that corresponds to the zero *R*-band magnitude, 2.19×10^{-9} ergs s⁻¹ cm⁻² $Å^{-1}$, is taken from Fukugita et al. (1995). The nebular continuum has been also estimated and taken into account to derive W_{λ} . We find that the apparent W_{λ} dichotomy among PCyg sources with hot central stars of similar spectral types (with values of $W_{\lambda} \gtrsim$ 100 Å in some cases and $W_{\lambda} \leq 20$ Å in others) could easily result from uncertainties in the spectral classification. This is because $N_{\rm Lv}$ and, thus, W_{λ} are very sensitive to the stellar $T_{\rm eff}$ for late-O and early-B stars. In particular, for class I sources with $T_{\rm eff}$ of 28.1 (B0), 26.3, and 25 kK (B0.5) we find values of W_{λ} of 300, 80, and 50 Å, respectively, i.e., there is a factor of 6 in W_{λ} for a difference of only 0.5 spectral subtypes. (The steep decline of W_{λ} with $T_{\rm eff}$ is because the stellar SEDs peak near the Lyman discontinuity for [O,B]-type stars.) For O9 stars, we obtain predicted values of $W_{\lambda} \sim 1000$ Å, i.e., larger than observed (~20 and ~130 Å, respectively, for IRAS 21282+5050 and IRAS 19520+ 2759). In the case of IRAS 21282+5050, whose central source may be a Wolf-Rayet [WC11] star, the discrepancy between the observed and expected value of W_{λ} is smaller since WR stars produce less Lyman continuum than non-WR stars with the same T_{eff} (see Smith et al. 2002). Values of W_{λ} smaller than those predicted could also result in the case of a matter bounded H II region, for example, a nonspherically symmetric H α -emitting region: if the $H\alpha$ emission arises in a disk or a shell with cavities along a given axis, a large fraction of the ionizing UV photons will escape along the poles.

4.1.2. EFA and PA Sources

In order to estimate the relative Doppler shift ($\Delta \lambda$), equivalent widths (W_{λ}) , and FWHM of the absorption and emission components of the H α line for EFA+PA sources, we have decomposed the observed profile using different Gaussian functions (Figs. 6 and 7 and Table 4). Two absorption components, one narrow and one broad, are needed in most cases. For IRAS 19477+2401 and IRAS 19114+0002 we have fitted only one narrow absorption component; however, a weak broad absorption feature cannot be ruled out; for IRAS 19477+2401 such broad absorption may be well hidden within the low S/N of our spectrum, and for IRAS 19114+0002, it could be totally masked out by the relatively intense emission that fills in the absorption profile. For IRAS 19114+ 0002 and IRAS 17440-3310, the line parameters derived are especially uncertain, in particular, the errors in $\Delta \lambda$ are probably larger than those quoted in the table, because the absorption features are almost completely filled in with the emission, and therefore, the fit to the different absorption components is poorly constrained. This leads to a poor determination of the parameters of the emission feature as well. Finally, the observed H α profile for the PPNs IRAS 04296+3429, IRAS 17441-2411, and IRAS 18167-1202, which shows an emission "hump" blueward of the narrow absorption core, can also be reasonably well fitted without the emission (line parameters with or without the emission component are given in Table 4). Therefore, although the line shape is better reproduced including a weak emission feature, the line parameters derived for this component are somewhat uncertain.

Although the profile of photospheric absorption lines may be better represented by a Lorentz or a combined Lorentz-Gaussian (Voigt) function, we do not expect the parameters derived using these more complex functions to be significantly different than those in Table 4 given the good match between the Gaussian fit and the observed line shape. Another way of attempting the characterization of the emission component in EFA sources is to subtract from the observed profile a synthetic absorption line obtained from stellar atmospheric modeling. However, for an accurate characterization of the fundamental stellar parameters that determine the shape of the absorption profile, such as T_{eff} , gravity, turbulence velocity, etc., we need to identify and fit simultaneously not only H α but all the absorption features in our spectra, which is beyond the scope of this paper.

4.2. Spectral Classification: Line Absorption Spectra

We have used our ESI and MIKE spectra to derive the spectral type of our targets and investigate the correlation of this fundamental stellar parameter with the type of H α profile. For some of our targets, there was already an estimate of the spectral type available in the literature (see, e.g., The Torun Catalog of Galactic PAGB and Related Objects and references given therein)⁸. Whenever no, or uncertain, spectral classification was found, we estimated the spectral type by comparing the normalized spectra of our targets with those of template stars obtained from published stellar libraries. Note that the slope of the continuum cannot be safely used for spectral classification due to the uncertain flux calibration in the majority of the objects in our sample, which were observed under nonphotometric conditions, and also because these objects are expected to be very reddened by large amounts of dust in their envelopes. We have also double-checked the spectral types previously assigned to our sources. Our template spectra have

⁸ Available online at http://www.ncac.torun.pl/postagb.



FIG. 6.—Fit to the H α -profile for EFA sources using Gaussian functions (*dotted line*: emission component; *dashed line*: absorption components; *solid line*; resultant profile). Line parameters obtained from the fit are given in Table 4. For IRAS 19114+0002, one Gaussian function has been fitted to the Ti I line adjacent to H α to better reproduce the observed profile. [See the electronic edition of the Supplement for a color version of this figure.]

been taken mainly from the Library of High-Resolution Spectra of Stars from the UVES Paranal Observatory Project (Bagnulo et al. 2003)⁹. We have also used as secondary templates some of our own targets for which a reliable spectral classification was already assigned, e.g., from detailed studies of the stellar parameters through model-atmosphere methods. We have assumed luminosity class I for our objects. For several sources, this assumption is in fact confirmed from the strength of the luminosity dependent O I IR triplet (§ 4.3). The main reference used for line identifications and laboratory wavelengths is the NIST Atomic Spectra Database (version 3.1.0). In Table 2 spectral types obtained from this work are given using bold-face font. In the following we briefly discuss the spectral types assigned in this work to individual sources with no spectral type available from the literature and objects for which our spectral classification does not agree with previous assignations.

IRAS 04296+3429.—The central star of this object was classified as a G0 Ia supergiant based on low-resolution optical spectra by Hrivnak (1995). Detailed chemical analysis and determination of atmospheric parameters on the basis of high-resolution spectra performed by different authors, however, indicate a higher effective temperature of $T_{\rm eff} \sim 6400-7000$ K (Klochkova et al. 1999; Decin et al. 1998; Van Winckel & Reyniers 2000). By comparing our high-resolution data with F and G UVES template standards, we find that the spectrum of IRAS 04296+3429 is, in fact, inconsistent with a G spectral type (e.g., Paschen lines are extremely

⁹ Online at http://www.sc.eso.org/santiago/uvespop/interface.html.



FIG. 7.—Gaussian fit to the Hα-profile for PA sources (*dashed line*: absorption components; *solid line*: resultant profile). Parameters of the fit are given in Table 4. [See the electronic edition of the Supplement for a color version of this figure.]

weak in G-type stars) but, rather, supports the classification of the central star as an early-F. In particular, the best match is obtained with the UVES standard HD 74180 (F3 Ia).

IRAS 05506+2414.—This enigmatic *IRAS* source, which is most likely associated with a YSO, was serendipitously discovered in our survey of PPNs (see § 2.3 and Sahai et al. 2008). Its central source is listed as an M6 in the SIMBAD database. Our ESI spectrum, however, is not consistent with such a late spectral type (note, e.g., the remarkable differences with respect to the spectra of M-type stars in our sample). The deep IR Ca II triplet together with the absence of the Paschen series is indicative of a G–K star. Considering also the number and depth of metal lines in the 8400–8800 Å window, e.g., Ti I and Fe I lines, we assign a spectral type G9–K2 to the central source of this object.

IRAS 17150–3224.—The central star of this object has been previously classified as G2 I based on a low-spectral resolution spectrum in the 4000–6800 Å range (Hu et al. 1993). However, the density and depth of the lines across the whole wavelength range observed with MIKE is most consistent with an F3–7 spectral type. (The windows 3890–4600, 5200–5400, 5800–6400,

and 7050–7250 Å are particularly useful for discriminating between F and G spectral types.) In particular, the shape of the profile of the Ca II H and K lines (at \sim 3950 Å) indicates an F3–7 star. The spectrum of the template UVES star HD 136537, which is a G2 II, has a much more dense absorption line spectrum and the lines are deeper than in IRAS 17150–3224.

IRAS 17317–2743.—The central star of this object is classified as a F5 I based on a low-resolution optical spectrum in the 4000–6900 Å range by Suárez et al. (2006). We observe very deep near-IR Ca II triplet lines (in the 8500–8700 Å range), which is consistent with an F or G spectral type. However, the absence of Paschen lines series indicates a G-type star. The spectrum of IRAS 17317–2743 best resembles that of the UVES template star HD 204075, which is a G4 Ib.

IRAS 17440–3310.—There is no previous spectral classification for this object available in the literature. The spectrum of IRAS 17440–3310 is quite similar to that of IRAS 17150–3224 suggesting an F-type star. In the region of the Ca II H and K lines as well as in the 5200–5400, 5800–6000, and 6100–6300 Å ranges, which are particularly useful to distinguish between early

	NARROV	W ABSORPTION		BROAD ABSORPT	TION	Emission		
IRAS NAME	W_{λ} (Å)	FWHM (km s ⁻¹)	W_{λ} (Å)	$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{(\mathrm{km}\;\mathrm{s}^{-1})}$	FWHM (km s ⁻¹)	W_{λ} (Å)	$\Delta\lambda$ (km s ⁻¹)	FWHM (km s ⁻¹)
17317–2743	2.22	140	2.25	+1(21)	880	0.73	-28(6)	60
17440-3310 ^a	3.94	70	0.98	-10(3)	200	4.89	+4(1)	100
19114+0002 ^a	1.96	80				1.56	+8(3)	110
19475+3119	0.77	60	2.22	-1(3)	720	0.60	-20(2)	50
20028+3910	0.67	50	1.27	-50(17)	490	0.32	-40(10)	70
20136+1309	1.09	60	1.10	-32(3)	580	0.79	-1(2)	80
04296+3429	0.65	60	2.04	+10(3)	640	0.21	+7(5)	125
	0.54	50	1.97	-1(3)	710			
17441-2411	0.61	50	1.86	-13(3)	730	0.09	-34(8)	60
	0.54	50	1.88	-18(3)	750			
18167-1209	0.69	55	1.94	-21(2)	530	0.16	-10(3)	80
	0.56	50	1.89	-27(2)	520			
17150-3224	0.50	50	1.36	+30(13)	530			
19477+2401	1.09	110						

TABLE 4 Gaussian Analysis of the H α Profile for EFA and PA Sources

Note.—Values of $\Delta \lambda$ are relative to the center of the narrow absorption core; $\Delta \lambda$ errors (in km s⁻¹) are indicated in parentheses. ^a Parameters particularly uncertain (see text § 4.1). and late-F spectral types, the spectrum of IRAS 17440-3310 is most consistent with an early-F spectral type. In the following we adopt an spectral type F3 I given the good agreement with the spectrum of our template UVES star HD 74180 (F3 Ia).

IRAS 17516–2525.—No previous spectral type assignation is reported for this object. We are unable to obtain an accurate spectral type for this source, since our ESI spectrum does not show any absorption lines but rather is dominated by nebular emission lines. The shape of the spectrum at long wavelengths enables ruling out an M-type classification. We note the remarkable similarity between the line-emission dominated spectrum of IRAS 17516–2525 and that of IRAS 19520+2759, especially redward of ~7400 Å. Since the latter is tentatively classified as an O9 (see below), this may suggest a hot central star also in this case.

IRAS 18167–1209.—There is no previous spectral classification for this object available in the literature. Its spectrum is similar to that of IRAS 19114+0002, suggesting a mid or late-F type. The shape of the profiles of the near-IR Ca II triplet and Paschen lines in the range $\lambda\lambda 8400-8900$ as well as the number and depth of the lines across the wavelength range covered by ESI (but particularly in the 5000–5600 and 6100–6300 Å windows) are very similar to those observed in the UVES template star HD 1089668, F7 Iab.

IRAS 19292+1806.—No spectral classification has been previously reported. The S/N in our spectrum is too low in most of the wavelength range observed, including the region around H α . Relatively weak Paschen lines are seen in absorption in the 8400– 8900 Å window, which may indicate a B spectral-type in this case.

IRAS 19306+1407.—Discrepant spectral types have been previously assigned to the central star of this object by Kelly & Hrivnak (2005; B0:e) and Suárez et al. (2006; G5). Both works are based on low-resolution optical spectra. A value for the stellar temperature of $T_{\rm eff} = 21,000$ K, typical of a B1 type star, has also been found by Lowe & Gledhill (2007) from detailed SED modeling leaving the stellar temperature as a free parameter. The absence of the near-IR Ca II triplet in our ESI spectrum argues against a G- or even an F-type assignation. Our ESI data support a B0-1 type central star based on the presence of relatively intense He I lines (e.g., at 5876 and 6678 Å), the density and depth of many metal absorption lines, e.g., in the 4500-4700 and 5600–5800 Å ranges, which are typical of early-B stars, and the relative weakness of the Paschen lines. The presence of the C II lines at 6578.05 and 6582.88 Å in IRAS 19306+1407 further supports its B-type classification, since these lines are very prominent in B-type stars, but extremely weak or absent in other spectral types. In the following we adopt a spectral type B0-1 I given the similarity between the IRAS 19306+1407 spectrum and the template UVES stars HD 112272 (B0.5 Ia) and HD 148688 (B1 Ia). Discrepant spectral types for this target (and other objects) are discussed in detail in the Appendix.

IRAS 19374+2359.—The spectrum of this source is similar to that of IRAS 19306+1407, suggesting a B-type also in this case. The very weak P α lines, the absence of metallic lines in the blue, e.g., in the $\lambda\lambda$ 5100–5400 region, the presence of the He I 6678 Å line, and the very deep C II $\lambda\lambda$ 6578, 6583 lines, unequivocally indicate an early B-type star. The absence of strong metallic lines in the 5600–5700 Å range and the relatively strong O I triplet suggest a spectral type later than B2. The spectrum of IRAS 19374+2359 best resembles that of the UVES template stars HD 168625 (B2/5 Ia) and HD 105071 (B6 Ia/Ib); therefore, we adopt an intermediate B3–6 I classification.

IRAS 19477+2401.—Suárez et al. (2006) classify the central star of this object as an F4–7 I based on low-resolution optical spectra. We find, however, that the spectrum of IRAS 19477+2401 is

rather different from those of objects with F3–F7 I central stars in our sample (see Table 2 and Fig. 1.23). The spectral differences are especially noticeable in the 8400–8900 Å window, in which the weak Paschen lines and strong near-IR Ca II triplet lines are indicative of a later spectral type. The spectrum of IRAS 19477+ 2401 is most consistent with the UVES template G0 Ia star HD 174383.

IRAS 19520+2759.—There is no previous spectral classification for this object in the literature. Spectral typing is difficult in this case, since the spectrum of IRAS 19520+2759 is dominated by intense nebular emission lines. The spectrum of this object shows a general lack of absorption lines, suggesting that it has a fairly hot star. For example, there is a clear absence of lines in the wavelength window around 5700 Å. This region shows the presence of numerous lines for B1 Ia spectral types (see, e.g., our ESI spectra of IRAS 20462+3416), but not for hotter spectral types, e.g., O9 Ia. The line absorption spectrum of IRAS 19520+2759 is similar to that of IRAS 21282+5050, which is classified as an O9.5 I. Therefore, we tentatively assign a similar spectral type, \sim O9, to this source.

IRAS 20028+3910.—The central star of this object has been previously classified as a G4 (Kelly & Hrivnak 2005 and references therein). The presence of the near-IR Ca II triplet is consistent with both a G and F type; however, the depth of the Paschen lines indicates a spectral type earlier than G0. The spectrum of IRAS 20028+3910 is very similar to that of IRAS 04296+3429, IRAS 18167–1202, and IRAS 17441–2411, which suggests a mid-F classification. In fact, the relative intensity of the Ca II triplet and Paschen lines is most consistent with an F3–7.

IRAS 20136+1309.—The central star of this object has been previously classified as a G0 I (Kelly & Hrivnak 2005 and references therein). The spectrum of this object is similar to that of IRAS 20028+3910. It shows very deep near-IR (NIR) Ca II triplet lines and relatively intense Paschen lines indicative of a mid or late-F spectral type. The number and depth of many metal lines across the spectrum as well as the shape of the profile of the Ca II H and K lines support an F3–7 assignation.

IRAS 22574+6609.—There is no previous spectral classification for this object. Since IRAS 22574+6609 is very faint in the optical ($V \sim 21.3$), our spectrum has a poor S/N at wavelengths shorter than ~6500 Å and no absorption features are identified in this region. The IR window ~8300–8900 Å is, however, very useful for spectral typing: the depth of the Ca II triplet lines, which are very weak, and their relative intensity with respect to the Paschen lines constrain the spectral type to A1–6. The bestmatching UVES template star is HD 80057, which is an A1 Ia.

4.3. Luminosity and Distance

The combined strength of the lines at 7771.94, 7774.17, and 7775.39 Å of the O I λ 7773 IR triplet has been established as a powerful measure of the stellar luminosity (e.g., Arellano Ferro et al. 2003 and references therein). These lines form as a result of upward transitions from the metastable $3s^5S_2^0$ level, which has a high excitation potential of 9.15 eV, to the $3p^3P_{3,2,1}$ levels of neutral oxygen. The O I IR triplet is particularly strong in supergiants, especially for class Ia objects, and is easily observable for stars with spectral types from middle B to early G. For later G-type stars the O I λ 7773 feature weakens progressively (Faraggiana et al. 1988).

We have used the relationship between the absorption equivalent width of the triplet, W_{λ} (O I), and the absolute visual magnitude of the star, M_{I3} calibrated by Slowik & Peterson (1995) to derive the luminosity class of the objects for which the O I λ 7773 feature appears in absorption. The O I triplet is partially resolved

TABLE 5 Equivalent Widths of the O 1 7773 Å Triplet and Derived Magnitudes

IRAS Name	<i>W</i> _λ (Ο Ι) (Å)	M_V (mag)	B.C. (mag)	$L_{ m bol}$ (L_{\odot})	$\frac{L/D^2}{(L_\odot \text{ kpc}^{-2})}$	D (kpc)
04296+3429	1.62	-5.83	-0.00	1 7E+04	280	< 8
17441-2411	1.81	-6.42	-0.03	3.0E+04	810	<6
18167-1209	1.93	-6.81	-0.09	4.5E+04	100	<21
19024+0044	1.69	-6.04	-0.21	2.5E+04	250	<10
19114+0002	3.00	-10.2	-0.03	9.5E+05 ^a	4950	$< 14^{a}$
19306+1407	0.99	-3.81	-2.14	1.9E+04 ^b	320	$< 8^{b}$
19374+2359	1.98	-6.95	-0.95	1.1E+05 ^b	450	<13 ^{b,c}
19475+3119	2.10	-7.35	-0.03	6.9E+04	380	<13
19477+2401	1.20	-4.47	-0.15	5.5E+03	240	$< 5^{\circ}$
20028+3910	2.04	-7.15	-0.03	5.8E+04	950	$<\!\!8$
20136+1309	1.26	-4.67	-0.03	5.9E+03	75	<9
20462+3416	0.67	-2.81	-2.14	7.4E+03	130	$<\!\!8$
22574+6609	2.10	-7.34	-0.13	7.6E+04 ^b	170	$<21^{b}$

^a Uncertain, outside of the M_V applicability domain (see § 4.3).

^b Uncertain, outside of the spectral type applicability domain (see \S 4.3).

^c Interstellar A_V expected to be very high.

in our observations, i.e., the 7771.94 Å line is observed partially separated from the 7774.17 and 7775.39 Å lines, which appear blended. For IRAS 08005-2356, Hen 3-1475, and IRAS 22036+ 5306, W_{λ} (O I) cannot be accurately measured because the O I λ 7773 feature shows a P Cygni profile, and we cannot rule out some underlying O I emission partially filling the absorption. In addition, the O I lines may be blended with some other absorption features falling in that wavelength range. Therefore, we have not estimated M_V for these objects. The derived values of M_V (Table 5) agree quite well (within 0.2 mag) with the mean of M_V obtained from equations (1) and (2) by Arellano Ferro et al. (2003). (These authors obtain two separate relationships between M_V and the equivalent width of the O 17771 Å line, eq. [1], and the O_I 7774+7775 Å blend, eq. [2].) This agreement indicates that the M_V - W_{λ} (O I) relationship used is valid for the domains A1–G8 and M_V from -9.5 to +0.35, i.e, for the spectral and M_V domain covered by most of our targets. One exception may be IRAS 19114+0002, with a very large value of M_V consistent with this object being a luminous, massive star. We note, however, that the relative atmospheric oxygen abundance of its central star has been found to be 0.5 dex higher than that in normal F supergiants (Začs et al. 1996; Reddy & Hrivnak 1999), which could result in an overestimate of the luminosity as derived from the $M_V - W_{\lambda}(O I)$ relationship. The M_V - W_λ (O I) relationship may not provide reliable estimates of the luminosity either for the YPNs IRAS 19306+ 1407, IRAS 19374+2359, and IRAS 22574+6609, which have B-type central stars.

The errors of M_V are expected to range between ~0.7 and 1.2 mag, taking into account the errors in the coefficients of the $M_V - W_\lambda(O I)$ relationship and the error of our measurement of $W_\lambda(O I)$, which is expected to be ≤ 0.1 Å. As shown in Table 5 most objects have $W_\lambda(O I) > 1$ Å. These high values are restricted to class I sources (Thomas et al. 1979), implying luminosities of $\approx 10^4 - 10^5 L_{\odot}$. In particular, according to these authors (see their Fig. 1) and taking into account the spectral type of our targets (Table 2), the large majority of our sources belong to luminosity class Ia. IRAS 20136+1309 and IRAS 19477+2401 are the two only objects that are most consistent with class Ib. We also find that the value of $W_\lambda(O I)$ measured for IRAS 19306+ 1407 is comparable with, but slightly larger than, the expected value for a B0–1 Ia star. We have estimated the total luminosity



FIG. 8.—Positions of our targets with the infrared O I triplet seen in absorption (Table 5), in the HR diagram (*filled squares*: PCyg+PE; *open squares*: EFA+PA). Error bars of $\Delta \log (T_{\text{eff}}) = 0.05$ and $\Delta \log (L/L_{\odot}) = 0.5$ have been adopted. The luminosities derived for objects with B-type central stars and for the YHG IRAS 19114+0002 are particularly uncertain since these objects could be outside of the applicability domain of the M_V - W_i (O I) relationship (see text § 4.3).

 (L_{bol}) for objects in Table 5 using the values of the bolometric correction (BC) that correspond to their stellar spectral types.

By comparing the position of our targets in the HR diagram (Fig. 8) with theoretical evolutionary tracks for PAGB objects, we find that a significant fraction of our targets (10 out of 13 with W_{λ} (O I) measurements) seem to have central stars with high remnant masses of >0.8 M_{\odot} , which would point to initial masses of >3 M_{\odot} (Bloecker 1995). IRAS 20462+3416, IRAS 20136+13090, and IRAS 19477+2401, are most consistent with lower remnant masses of ~0.6 M_{\odot} , implying initial masses of ~3 M_{\odot} . Note, however, that the large error bars in the luminosity, $\Delta \log(L_{bol}/L_{\odot}) \sim 0.5$, together with the uncertainties arising in the evolutionary models themselves and the initial-to-final mass relationship, prevent us from obtaining accurate values for the masses.

We have computed the luminosity of our objects, L/D^2 , by integrating their SEDs. We have fitted the double-peaked SEDs by two blackbody curves, one representing the reddened stellar photosphere, which is mainly visible from the optical to the NIR, and the other representing the emission at longer wavelengths by cool circumstellar dust (Fig. 9). The sum of the integrated fluxes of the two blackbodies represents L/D^2 . By comparing the values of L/D^2 with L_{bol} , we have obtained upper limits to the distance, D, to our objects (Table 5). The upper limits arise because the total luminosity L/D^2 derived from the observed SED is probably underestimated. This is mainly because our SEDs are not corrected by interstellar extinction, which can be quite large given the proximity of our targets to the Galactic plane (see next paragraph). Correcting for interstellar reddening, however, is not straightforward since the total amount of extinction along the line of sight toward our sources depends on their unknown distances. Moreover, in some objects there is a clear excess of emission in the $2-10 \ \mu m$ range, that is, between the star and cool dust blackbody curves, denoting the presence of warm dust (see, e.g., IRAS 17441-2411, IRAS 19306+1407, and IRAS 22574+6609;



FIG. 9.—SEDs of the objects with IR O 1 triplet absorption (Table 5). Big circles represent GSC2, 2MASS, *MSX*, and *IRAS* data points; Small circles are used for *ISO* and *IRAS* LSR spectra. Two blackbody curves (*dashed lines*) have been fitted to the reddened stellar photosphere and cool dust emission components. The two-blackbody SED model corrected for interstellar extinction is also shown (*solid line*); an typical value of $A_V = 2.5$ mag is used for reddening correction. [*See the electronic edition of the Supplement for a color version of this figure.*]

Fig. 9). Since this component is not included in our simplified two-blackbody model, the value of L/D^2 derived from the model SED is a lower limit to the total luminosity.

We show now that the interstellar extinction correction factor can be significant in some cases, even if the total extinction is not extremely high (typical values of the interstellar extinction range between $A_V = 1$ and 4 mag). The reddening correction is particularly important for objects with the stellar component of the SED peaking shortward of ~1 μ m. To illustrate this, we have corrected the SED of IRAS 20462+3416 for a value of $A_V \sim 1$ mag and adopting a λ^{-1} power law for the extinction curve. The extinctioncorrected value of L/D^2 is almost a factor 3 larger than that in Table 5, which translates into a nonnegligible factor of 1/1.7 for the distance. The effect of interstellar reddening is also illustrated in Figure 9, where SEDs corrected by an average value of $A_V = 2.5$ mag are shown.

Finally, there are additional sources of errors that may be affecting our values of D in Table 5 such as the typical uncertainties in the spectral classification of the central star (of a few subtypes), which affects BC and L_{bol} , and the fact that the reliability of the M_V - W_λ (O I) relationship is not well tested for highly evolved objects, specially C-rich stars, in which the oxygen abundance may differ significantly from solar. In addition, for objects with an equatorially enhanced density distribution, very common among PPNs, the luminosity L/D^2 computed from the integrated SED depends on the viewing angle, especially when a significant fraction of the light is scattered by the nebular dust (see, e.g., Su et al. [2001] for a quantitative estimate of viewing angle effects

~211

~186 K

10¹ λ (μm) FIG. 9—Continued





on the SEDs of nonspherically symmetric nebulae). High polarization values, implying mostly scattered light, are indeed observed for some objects in our sample (e.g., Trammell et al. 1994; Gledhill 2005; Oppenheimer et al. 2005; Bieging et al. 2006 and references therein).

10-10 10

4.4. Correlations

Correlations between the type of $H\alpha$ profile and other stellar and envelope parameters have been investigated.

Spectral type of the central star.---We have found a noticeable, and somewhat expected, correlation between the H α profile and the stellar spectral type. First, there is a deficiency of H α emitters among AGB stars. Three out of four of the H α nondetections are AGB stars with M or C spectral types. There are only two latetype stars in our sample showing H α emission, namely, IRC +10216 and CIT 6. In these cases, the H α emission is guite weak $(W_{\lambda} \leq 4 \text{ Å})$ compared with that observed in the majority of the $H\alpha$ emitters (Table 3). This weak $H\alpha$ emission is most likely temporary, since it was absent in the spectrum of these cool objects in earlier observations (§ 3.1.1). Second, as shown in Table 2 and Figure 10, all objects in which $H\alpha$ is seen in absorption, i.e., EFA+PA sources, have late-type (F-G) central stars. In contrast, the distribution of spectral types for H α emitters, i.e., PCyg+PE sources, is much broader, including objects with spectral types ranging from O to G, with a relative maximum around B, and also very late types such as C. H α emission is indeed expected in objects with [O,B]-type central stars, whose UV radiation field ionizes the circumstellar material, producing an H II region observable through Balmer line emission (\S 4.1.2). For objects with mid or late spectral types, lacking in photoionizing photons, a likely mechanism for producing H α emission are shocks. For nonpulsating stars, such shocks probably result from the interaction between

the inner layers of the AGB envelope, i.e., the slow AGB wind, and the fast PAGB winds, more recently ejected. In the two longperiod variable stars with H α emission in our sample, shocks could either arise in the pulsating stellar atmosphere, which is the normal interpretation for transient line emission in this type of objects (e.g., Castelaz et al. 2000 and references therein), or



FIG. 10.—Histogram showing the distribution of spectral types for PCyg+PE (gray bars) and EFA+PA (unfilled bars) sources.



FIG. 11.—*Top*: Distribution of our targets in the (J - H) - (H - K) color-color diagram (*filled squares*: PCyg+PE; *open squares*: EFA+PA; *crosses*: non-H α detections). The reddening vector for $A_J = 1$ mag is indicated. The solid line represents the locus of blackbody emitters for temperatures in the range 6000–750 K; the tick marks correspond to the temperatures indicated. *Bottom*: Histograms of the J - K and H - K colors for PCyg+PE and EFA+PA sources (same color code as in Fig. 10).

result from the AGB-to-PAGB wind interaction. We note that, in fact, such interaction must have started in these objects given the nonspherical morphology of their inner CSEs.

NIR and IRAS colors.---NIR colors are sensitive to the pres-ence of warm (~500-1000 K) dust. The (J - H) - (H - K)color-color diagram shows that PCyg+PE objects are redder than EFA+PA sources (Fig. 11). This is also apparent in the histograms of any of the three NIR colors, especially H - K and J - K also shown in the figure. We have compared the observed values of (J-K) with the intrinsic color $(J-K)_0$ for the spectral types assigned to our targets and found that the larger values of (J - K) in $H\alpha$ emitters do not result from their different distribution over spectral type with respect to EFA+PA sources (Fig. 12). The larger (J-K) color excess in PCyg+PE sources may be partially explained by a larger value of the total (interstellar+circumstellar) extinction. However, reddening only cannot explain the loci of most of these objects above the blackbody line in the (J - H)-(H-K) color-color diagram given the direction of the reddening vector (from Schlegel et al. 1998 equivalent to a $\propto \lambda^{-1.6}$ extinction power law). Scattering by nebular dust could also affect the observed colors. However, since the scattering efficiency is larger at

shorter wavelengths, the scattering net effect is blueing of the incident light, and that will not explain the observed H - K colors of PCyg+PE sources, which are redder than for a blackbody. While the NIR colors of EFA+PA sources are consistent with the major contribution to the emission in the NIR bands being the reddened stellar photosphere, the position of PCyg+PE sources in the (J - H) - (H - K) color-color diagram highlights the presence of warm dust. This warm-dust component is in fact confirmed in some cases by a clear emission excess between 2 and 10 μ m, whenever such data exists (see SEDs of, e.g., IRAS 19306+ 1407 and IRAS 22574+6609 in Fig. 9). The warm dust component must be near the star and, therefore, has probably been formed recently. Then, the presence of warm dust could be an independent confirmation of substantial present-day mass-loss in PCyg+PE targets, which is evidenced in the first place by their intense, circumnuclear H α emission. Alternatively, the warm-dust component in PCyg+PE sources could be located in a long-lived disk around the central source rather than in the PAGB wind itself. Finally, we note that the NIR colors of the EFA objects IRAS 17150-3224, IRAS 17441-2411, and IRAS 20136+1309 also suggests dust grains with higher temperatures than those in their



FIG. 12.—J - K vs. spectral type diagram showing the observed colors for our targets (*symbols*) and the intrinsic colors for luminosity classes I, III, and V, and for C-rich stars (*solid lines*; from Ducati et al. 2001). Horizontal error bars represent uncertainties in the spectral classification of the central stars. For the J - K color, an error of 0.1 mag has been adopted. Symbol code as in previous figures.

detached, much cooler, AGB CSEs (see also full SEDs for the two later in Fig. 9).

We have compared the location of our objects with the larger, unbiased sample used by García-Lario et al. (1997a). Our PCyg sources fall in regions III and IV defined by these authors, which suggest that the NIR colors of objects in such regions may result from recent mass loss leading to a hot dust component around the star. For a few of their objects for which optical spectroscopy was available, P Cygni H α profiles were found. Our work has demonstrated that PCyg sources systematically lie above the blackbody line in the NIR two-color diagram, displaying colors that are consistent with the presence of hot dust in the stellar vicinity most likely resulted from PAGB mass loss. There are two PCyg objects, IRAS 20462+3416 and IRAS 19306+1407, with blackbody-like NIR colors (Fig. 11) that can be explained just by reddening of the stellar atmosphere. For these two PCyg objects, therefore, there are no indications of the presence of hot dust in the stellar vicinity, although their P Cygni H α profile indicates current mass loss. In IRAS 20462+3416, significant spectral variations with time in the H α line have been reported, pointing to episodic mass-loss events (§ 3.1.1). As suggested by García-Lario et al. (1997a, 1997b) the absence of significant NIR excess in this case could indicate that the duration and intensity of such mass-loss episodes are not enough to efficiently form and maintain hot dust grains in the circumstellar envelope.

We have also found a correlation between the type of H α profile and the IRAS colors, which are most sensitive to the cool (~100-200 K) dust component that is remnant of the mass loss on the AGB (Fig. 13). The IRAS colors represented in this figure are defined as $[12]-[25] = 2.5 \log (F_{25}/F_{12})$ and [25]-[60] = $2.5 \log (F_{60}/F_{25})$ with F flux density in janskys at the 12, 25, and 60 μ m *IRAS* filters. As can be seen, EFA+PA sources display slightly larger values of [12]-[25], i.e., the slope of the SED between 12 and 25 μ m is steeper, than for PCyg+PE. This is consistent with a well-detached envelope lacking significant amounts of



6

FIG. 13.—*Left*: Distribution of our targets in the IRAS [12]–[25] vs. [25]–[60] color diagram. The solid line represents the blackbody colors for temperatures in the range 100–860 K. The dashed line represents the colors for optically thin dust emission for the same range of temperatures and dust optical depth $\tau_{\nu} \propto \nu^{\beta}$ (see § 4.4). *Right*: [12]–[25] histogram; same color-symbol code as in Fig. 11.



FIG. 14.—Distribution of our targets in the Galaxy. Symbol code as in previous figures.

warm dust in most EFA+PA sources, which is indicated as well by their NIR colors (see above). The two groups of H α profile sources clearly segregate in the two-color IRAS diagram: the majority of the PCyg+PE sources ($\sim 64\%$) lie above the blackbody line, whereas most EFA+PA targets (\sim 73%) are below. This separation suggests different properties of the circumstellar dust in the AGB CSE among the two groups. The distribution of the sources below the blackbody line, which means that the emission at the longer wavelengths is smaller than expected for a blackbody, can be explained by the dependence on wavelength of the dust optical depth, $\tau_{\nu} \propto$ ν^{β} (normally $\beta \approx 1-2$), and the fact that the dust emission is proportional to $B_{\nu}(T_d) \times (1 - e^{-\tau_{\nu}})$, with $B_{\nu}(T_d)$ being the Plank function for a given dust temperature T_d . For optically thin dust emission the flux is, therefore, proportional to $B_{\nu}(T_d) \times \nu^{\beta}$ (this is the so-called modified blackbody function), which explains the smaller emission at the longer wavelengths (Fig. 13). The location of the targets above the blackbody line in the IRAS colorcolor diagram means, as for the NIR, that their colors cannot be well represented by a blackbody or modified blackbody with a single temperature. Such location must result from a larger temperature distribution of the dust over the envelope in PCyg+PE than in EFA+PA sources. In particular, the observed effect suggests a significant contribution to the total emission by cold dust, especially at longer wavelengths (60 μ m), whereas most of the emission at shorter wavelengths (12 μ m) would be produced by a different component of warmer dust grains.

Galactic coordinates.—We have not found any obvious correlation between the H α profile and the Galactic coordinates of our targets (Fig. 14). A correlation with the Galactic latitude, b, would have been proof of differences in the masses of the progenitor of both types of objects. We note, however, that most of our targets happen to be concentrated in a relatively small range of latitudes around $b = 0^{\circ}$, so the dependence of H α with b cannot be properly studied. (The narrow range in b in our sample results from the fact that OH/IR surveys, from which most our targets were selected, normally concentrate around the Galactic plane.) Nebular morphology.—We find a variety of nebular morphologies in our sample but no obvious segregation with respect to the $H\alpha$ profile has been found. There is nearly the same fraction of elongated, multipolar, bipolar, irregular, and stellar sources as well as central obscuring waist and central star evident objects among $H\alpha$ emitters and EFA+PA targets (see Table 2 and references therein).

Chemistry.—It seems that there is little connection, if any, between the chemistry and the characteristics of the H α profile. There are more or less the same fraction of O- and C-rich objects among the H α emitters and EFA+PA sources. Among AGB stars, we find that the only objects with H α emission are C-rich. Because of the small number of AGB stars in our sample and the expected variability of the H α emission with the light curve, this trend cannot be regarded as a robust result.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Fast PAGB Winds Probed by P Cygni-like Profiles

P Cygni profiles are known to be indicative of on-going mass loss in the form of a stellar wind. The formation of typical P Cygni profiles can be understood qualitatively by a simple model of a spherically symmetric wind in which the velocity increases outward up to a terminal velocity of the outflow v_{∞} . The wind material in front of the star is moving toward the observer and, therefore, absorbs the stellar continuum, producing a blueshifted absorption feature with a Doppler shift between $-v_{\infty}$ and 0, relative to the source systemic velocity (V_{sys}). Except for the part that is occulted by the star, the rest of the wind, which forms a halo around the star, will produce broad-line emission centered around V_{svs} with wings extending up to $\pm v_{\infty}$. The sum of the emission and the absorption at each Doppler velocity range leads to a so-called P Cygni profile. These P Cygni profiles are often seen in UV resonance lines of the central stars of PNs (e.g., Perinotto 1993; Kudritzki et al. 1997).

The H α profiles observed in the majority of our targets differ from the typical P Cygni profiles described above. The emission component is not centered at V_{sys} but, rather is redshifted in all cases, except for IRAS 21282+5050 (Table 3). Moreover, the terminal velocity of the outflow, as measured from the blueshifted edge of the absorption feature, is smaller than that obtained from the edge of the red emission wing, and in some cases, e.g., the YPN M1-92 (see below), the blue absorption is well detached from the line emission core.

These peculiar H α line shapes can be explained if the emission and absorption form in two distinct nebular components, with different velocity fields, and more generally are affected by different broadening mechanism. In particular, we propose the following model: the broad-line emission, characterized by a given intrinsic (not necessarily P Cygni) profile, arises from a compact central source; the line emission (and stellar continuum) is scattered by dust in the walls of the nebular lobes, which should produce an overall redshift of the line profile as a whole relative to $V_{\rm sys}$ as observed. The blueshifted absorption is due to neutral or partially ionized outflowing gas inside the lobes absorbing the scattered photons along the line of sight. This results in a P Cygni-like profile where the blueshifted absorption is produced against the intrinsic emission profile. Such a model has been successful in producing a detailed fit to the spatio-kinematic distribution of the blueshifted absorption and the redshifted scattered line core in the $H\alpha$ line profiles observed with HST STIS in the YPN Hen 3-1475 (Sánchez Contreras & Sahai 2001; see their Fig. 1d for a sketch of our geometrical model). A similar scenario is proposed by Arrieta

et al. (2005) to explain the P Cygni-like profile of H α and other recombination lines in the YPN M1-92, which shows a very broad, blue absorption feature well detached from the emission component that cannot result from the single stellar wind scenario used to explain typical P Cygni profiles. Our interpretation is also consistent with the fact that most PPNs exhibit intrinsic polarization of the continuum as well as some emission lines, which are most likely due to scattering off dust grains (Trammell et al. 1994). In particular, Balmer lines seem to come from an H II region close to the star, which is blocked from direct view by, e.g.,the thick equatorial dust waists commonly present in these objects, and are seen in reflection off the nebular dust (see also, e.g., Sánchez Contreras et al. 2002).

The nature of the outflow producing the absorption in PCvg sources is unknown; however, as in the case of Hen 3-1475, we argue that it is most likely a "pristine" PAGB wind from (or near) the central star that has not been strongly altered by its interaction with the progenitor AGB wind. The projected speed of the bulk of the material in the PAGB outflow, derived from the centroid of the blueshifted absorption feature relative to the systemic velocity in our sample, is $\sim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Table 3); however, the absorption features are quite wide and reach larger velocities at the edge, indicating the presence of smaller amounts of material expanding as fast as, e.g., $v_{\infty} \sim 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in the case of IRAS 19520+2759. As for Hen 3-1475, it is plausible that a radial and or latitudinal velocity gradient exists in the outflows and/or that distinct wind components are present; the latter is suggested by the two different absorption features observed in the H α profiles of a few objects $(\S 3.1)$. Unfortunately, ground-based observations do not allow us to conclude on the isotropic or collimated nature of the PAGB winds probed by the observed P Cygni profiles; however, it is plausible that these are bipolar/multipolar ejections, as in Hen 3-1475 and, probably, M1-92.

5.2. Broad H α Emission Wings

The emission component observed in the H α profile in our PCyg sources consists of an intense core plus weaker broad wings that reach widths (measured as the line FWZI) of up to ~ 4000 km s⁻¹. The yet unclear origin of the very extended H α wings, which are also observed in a number of PNs, has been discussed by Arrieta & Torres-Peimbert (2003). These authors consider several possible mechanisms for line broadening and conclude that Raman scattering of photons with wavelength close to $Ly\beta$ by neutral hydrogen is the most probable one in 12 of the 13 objects in their sample. In this scenario, $Ly\beta$ photons with a given velocity width are converted to optical photons that fill the H α wing region. The conclusion of Arrieta & Torres-Peimbert (2003) is mainly supported by (1) the fit of the H α -wing profile to a $\propto \lambda^{-2}$ law; (2) the presence of other Raman features produced in emission; and (3) the presence of a significant neutral hydrogen component. Criteria (1)–(3) are to be satisfied for the line wings to be attributable to Raman scattering.

We have checked whether or not the same conclusion can be drawn from our sample. As we have shown in § 4.1, the H α wings observed follow a $\propto \lambda^{-2}$ law in all cases, except for IRAS 20462+ 3416 and IRAS 19306+1407. In these two objects, which happen to display H α wings with similar widths and shapes, Raman scattering can be ruled out as the main line broadening mechanism. Arrieta & Torres-Peimbert (2003) found the same disagreement between the observed and predicted Raman profile for IRAS 20462+3416, which was also in their sample, concluding that a strong stellar wind is the most probable mechanism for line broadening in this case. We have searched for other emission features produced by Raman scattering in our spectra. In particular, we looked at the 6830 and 7088 Å bands that correspond to the Raman-scattered O vi $\lambda\lambda$ 1032, 1038 doublet. Only four objects, IRAS 08005–2356, M1-92, Hen –1475, and IRAS 22036+5306, show emission around 6830 Å with FWZI ~ 150 km s⁻¹, and only in two of them, Hen –1475 and IRAS 22036+5306, a weak emission feature is tentatively detected around 7088 Å with FWZI \leq 100 km s⁻¹ (Fig. Set 1). In contrast, Arrieta & Torres-Peimbert (2003) find these and other Raman features with large FWZIs of a few ×10²–10³ km s⁻¹, i.e., comparable to the width of the H α line wings, in most of their objects.

Since both the λ^{-2} profile of the wings and the presence of a large column density of neutral hydrogen are necessary but not sufficient conditions for attributing the observed H α -wings to Raman scattering, we cannot conclude that in our sample this is the main mechanism for line broadening, except maybe for the four objects listed above showing other Raman-scattered emission features. Note, however, that the FWZIs of such features are significantly smaller than those measured in the Arrieta & Torres-Peimbert (2003) sample and smaller than the H α wings themselves.

As suggested by Arrieta & Torres-Peimbert (2003), further investigation of the effect of Raman scattering producing the line wings could be obtained by measuring the widths of UV lines produced in the same region as the Ly β photons. This is because the width of the scattered H α is proportional to the initial width of the Ly β line, the Raman-scattering broadening factor being of $\lambda_{\rm H\alpha}/\lambda_{\rm Ly\beta} = 6.4$. We searched the International Ultraviolet Ex*plorer (IUE)* archive for UV spectra of our targets around the line Si III] λ 1892, which presumably arises in the same region as Ly β . Unfortunately, such data only exist for four objects and only in one case, Hen 3-1475, the Si III] line is clearly detected. The line, which was observed with the low spectral resolution ($\Delta \lambda \sim$ 6 Å) IUE SWP, is found to be spectrally unresolved. Nevertheless, for Raman scattering being able to produce the observed \sim 4000 km s⁻¹ wide H α wings in Hen 3-1475, the Ly β -emitting region must be characterized by a typical velocity of $\sim 600 \text{ km s}^$ i.e., the Si III] line should be spectrally resolved with a FWHM of \sim 7 Å as observed with SWP, in contrast to what is found. Therefore, it seems that Raman scattering is not the main mechanism affecting the profile of the H α wings in this case.

One additional requirement for Raman scattering to be efficient is that a relatively strong incident Ly β flux has to be produced by the central source. This may be satisfied the Arrieta & Torres-Peimbert (2003) sample, formed by objects with central stars with $T_{\rm eff} \ge 20,000$ K, except maybe for the hypergiant IRC +10420 with a stellar spectral type of A5 ($T_{\rm eff} \sim 8500$ K) at the time the Arrieta & Torres-Peimbert (2003) data were obtained.¹⁰ An estimate of the Ly β luminosity expected around the central star of the PN IC 4997 using the photoionization code Cloudy has been done by Lee & Hyung (2000). In this case, for a very hot ($T_{\rm eff} =$ 60,000 K) and quite small ($R_* = R_{\odot}$) central star, a compact H II region of high density ($\approx 10^9 - 10^{10}$ cm⁻³) together with a column density of neutral hydrogen of $N_{\rm H_{I}} = \text{few} \times 10^{20}$ cm⁻² in the scattering region are needed in order to have a sufficient number of Ly β photons and efficient Raman scattering. In our sample, a significant number of objects showing broad H α wings have relatively cool central stars, with spectral types F-G ($T_{\rm eff} \sim 5000-$ 7000 K) and, therefore, are unlikely to produce enough UV photons around Ly β . This is in fact supported by their featureless nebular spectra, which are remarkably different from those observed in more evolved PNs (\S 3.2). For the objects in our sample with the hottest central stars (with late-O and early-B spectral

 $^{^{10}}$ The spectral type of the central star of IRC +10420 changed from F8 to A5 in 4 yr, from 1992 to 1996 (Klochkova et al. 1997).

types, $T_{\rm eff} \sim 20,000-30,000$ K) estimates of the Ly β flux will be presented in future individual papers. We will also investigate whether or not shocks resulting from the wind interaction process are able to provide a strong Ly β flux.

The presence of fast stellar winds is another plausible mechanism to explain the broad H α wings observed. As already pointed out by Arrieta & Torres-Peimbert (2003), this is indeed the most likely mechanism for IRAS 20462+3416, for which the H α wing profile was found not to follow a $\propto \lambda^{-2}$ law. For similar reasons, a fast (\sim 1400 km s⁻¹) stellar wind is also very likely being expelled by the central star of IRAS 19306+1407 leading to its H α wings. In more than one-third of the objects in our sample, the presence of stellar winds is, in fact, confirmed by P Cygni profiles in H α and other lines. The question is whether or not these winds reach much higher velocities (of $1000-2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) in certain regions that can explain the broad wings observed. In the case of M1-92 and Hen 3-1475, there is direct evidence for fast winds with expansion velocities of up to \sim 800 and 2300 km s⁻¹, respectively (Arrieta et al. 2005; Sánchez Contreras & Sahai 2001), so it is plausible that these winds are also responsible (at least partially) for their H α wings. In the rest of the objects in our sample, the terminal speed of the fast wind measured from the blueshifted absorption feature of the H α P Cygni profile ($v_{\infty} \approx V_{\text{max}} \approx 10^2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, Table 3) is never as large as that implied by the broad wings if these were to be attributed to fast outflows. However, we find that V_{max} is normally larger than the FWHM of the H α core, indicating that indeed there are winds moving faster than the region emitting the bulk of the H α line (with an average velocity of $\sim 120 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; Table 3). This clearly suggests the presence of velocity gradients in the stellar winds of these objects. Moreover, we have found a weak correlation between V_{max} and the FWZI of the broad H α wings; the sources with the largest values of V_{max} , namely, IRAS 19520+2759, IRAS 20462+3416, M1-92, and Hen 3-1475, exhibit the broadest wings, reaching widths of \sim 2600, \sim 2800, \sim 3000, and \sim 4000 km s⁻¹, respectively. (For IRAS 20462+3416 and Hen 3-1475, the H α absorption feature is partially masked out by emission; therefore, values of $V_{\text{max}} = -300 \text{ km s}^$ are measured from their H β P Cygni profiles; Fig. 4.) This could be an indication that broad H α wings are partially due to fast stellar winds.

5.3. Incipient Mass-Loss Probed by EFA Profiles

Structured H α absorption profiles partially filled in with emission are common in F- and G-type supergiants and are considered as a possible evidence for mass loss (e.g., Tamura & Takeuti 1993; Arellano-Ferro 1985; Sowell 1990). Although most EFA sources in our sample are surrounded by extended nebulosities (see Table 2 and references therein), the observed structured H α profile, in particular, the weak emission that fills in the stellar absorption, arises in the bright nebular nucleus, i.e., in a compact region very close to the central star, and not in the extended nebulae. This compact nuclear region is directly seen but is spatially unresolved in our ESI spectra for IRAS 04296+3424, IRAS 17440-3310, IRAS 18167-1209, IRAS 19114+0002, IRAS 19475+3119, and IRAS 20136+1309. Of these, IRAS 17440-3310 is the only EFA source for which our ESI spectrum also shows weak H α emission arising in the extended lobes (we note, however, that the spectrum of this object is yet dominated by the bright nucleus). In this case, therefore, part of the emission filling in the absorption profile in Figure 6 may be locally produced in the lobes. Some contribution by nebular H α emission to the EFA profile cannot be ruled out for IRAS 04296+3429, which is known to have a $\sim 1.5''$ extended nebula (Ueta et al. 2000) that is unresolved in our long-slit spectrum. For objects with optically thick equatorial regions, the nuclear region is blocked from direct view. This is the case of IRAS 17317–2743, IRAS 17441–2411, and IRAS 20028+3910. Our 2D spectra of IRAS 17441–2411 and IRAS 17317–2743 show, in fact, continuum emission from two extended lobes separated by a dark equatorial region, but there is no H α emission locally produced in the lobes. The similar spatial distribution along the lobes of the stellar continuum and the structured EFA H α profile indicate that both the continuum and the H α line originate at the nebular core and are scattered by dust in the lobes. This is most likely the case of IRAS 20028+3910, for which our ESI spectrum shows unresolved continuum emission arising in the brightest of its two reflection lobes.

As shown in § 4.1, the emission filling in the absorption in EFA sources is well reproduced by a Gaussian function with an average width of FWHM ~ 65 km s⁻¹ (in deriving this value we have ignored objects for which the width of the emission component is uncertain; see Table 4). After deconvolution with the spectral resolution in our data (37 km s⁻¹; § 2) and thermal line broadening (~ 15 km s⁻¹ for a kinetic temperature of 10^4 K), this value implies moderate speed (\sim 50 km s⁻¹) motions in the H α emitting region. This value is larger than the typical expansion velocity of the slow $(5-15 \text{ km s}^{-1})$ AGB wind but smaller than the velocity of the fast PAGB outflows observed in PCyg sources (typically $\gtrsim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; see § 5.1). For the majority of the objects with a reliable estimate of the Doppler shift ($\Delta \lambda$) for the emission feature (§ 4.1), the emission is displaced blueward from the narrow absorption core; the blueshift found ranges from -10 to -43 km s⁻¹ (Table 4). Only in one case, IRAS 20136+1309, the emission and the narrow absorption components are centered around the same wavelength within the expected errors in our measurements. Among the three objects with the weakest $H\alpha$ emission features and, therefore, most uncertain emission line parameters, two show blueshifted emission and one, IRAS 04296+3429, redshifted (by $+7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) emission. We note, however, that for the latter, the parameters derived from the Gaussian analysis of the H α line are somewhat uncertain due to the weakness of the emission component and the fact that some contamination of the EFA profile by nebular H α emission cannot be ruled out.

We interpret the weak emission partially filling the EFA H α profile, which arises in the stellar vicinity, as an indication of present-day (i.e., PAGB) mass loss. (The material in the extended nebulosities around most objects resulted predominantly from the large-scale mass-loss process during the AGB; § 1.) For some of our EFA sources, the presence of nebular material near the star is confirmed by detection of a small number of weak nonhydrogen emission features, e.g., IRAS 04296+3429, IRAS 19114+0002, and IRAS 20136+1309 (and, tentatively, IRAS 19475+3119; \S 3.2). Since the stellar spectral types of the objects with EFA $H\alpha$ -profiles are F and G (i.e., their central stars are relatively cool, with temperatures ranging between 5000 and 7000 K), the emission component is likely to be formed in the de-excitation region behind a shock wave, presumably produced by wind interaction, rather than in a photoionized H II region. The fact that the emission is systematically Doppler shifted blueward with respect to the narrow absorption core can be explained if there is significant occultation of the receding part of the outflowing stellar wind. Note that for stellar occultation effects to be significant the size of the H α -emitting region has to be comparable to the radius of the star.

Alternatively, the weak $H\alpha$ emission observed in EFA sources could arise, in principle, in a long-lived reservoir of gas near the star, such as a rotating disk, rather than in a stellar wind. We believe, however, that the systematic blueshift of the emission would be more difficult to explain under this scenario since a long-lived circumstellar structure would necessarily have to be rotating (to persist throughout a substantial amount of time), and in this case, there is no obvious reason why the emission from the receding edge of such structure would be preferentially depressed/reduced.

Finally, however, both the narrow and broad absorption components of the EFA and PA H α profiles have most likely a photospheric origin; they are expected to arise in different layers of the stellar atmosphere, the broad feature probably arising in deeper, denser regions. The observed Doppler shifts between both absorption components, therefore, could result from the complex kinematics across the stellar photosphere. Complex, large-amplitude atmospheric motions, involving, e.g., the presence of shock waves propagating across the atmosphere, are derived from asymmetrical absorption lines and radial velocity measurements in supergiants, including those that are PPN candidates (e.g., Lebre & Gillet 1991, 1992). Such complex motions are also evidenced by variable Doppler shifts of the Stark photospheric absorption relative to V_{svs} (see references above).

6. DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PCyg AND EFA SOURCES

Both PCyg and EFA H α profiles are interpreted in terms of on-going PAGB mass loss. This stresses the fact that mass loss does not stop after the AGB and that theoretical evolutionary models need to include PAGB mass loss in their models. The main difference between the H α emission in PCyg and EFA sources is that the strength of the line is much larger in the former (Tables 3 4). Interpreting the strength of H α in terms of mass-loss rate is extremely difficult, because the former depends critically on several parameters that are unknown, such as the relative contribution by UV photons and shocks to the ionization of the H α -emitting region, whether such a region is radiation or matter bounded, and the density distribution, geometry, and velocity field of the gas in the stellar vicinity. In addition, accurate modeling of the stellar atmosphere would be needed to characterize and substract the contribution by the underlying absorption profile to the observed $H\alpha$ line shape-this is particularly significant for objects with A- and B-type central stars. A simple, perhaps naive, interpretation is that the more intense H α emission in PCyg sources implies larger PAGB mass-loss rates. A larger amount of circumstellar material resulting from larger PAGB mass-loss rates in PCyg sources would naturally explain their NIR colors, which independently point to the presence of warm dust in the vicinity of the star most likely produced by substantial present-day mass loss

In principle, one could think that larger PAGB mass-loss rates would imply larger progenitor masses and, therefore, larger luminosities in PCyg sources. The location of our targets in the HR diagram (Fig. 8) does not show particularly high values of the luminosity for PCyg objects. The similar distribution of PCyg and EFA targets in the Galaxy does not reveal either a significant difference in the mass of their progenitor, although a larger sample covering a broader range in Galactic latitude would be needed to attain definitive conclusions. If confirmed, a lack of mass/luminosity segregation between the two types of sources would indicate that the unknown mechanism that governs mass-loss during the PAGB phase is not strongly dependent on intrinsic stellar characteristics but rather it may depend on extrinsic properties like, for example, the presence of a binary companion.

Another difference between PCyg and EFA sources concerns to the velocity of their current stellar winds (§§ 5.3 and 5.1). For EFA, the weak H α emission profile indicates moderate speed motions in the nuclear H α -emitting region, with $V_{exp} \sim 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. For PCyg sources, the values of the FWHM of the H α emission core implies larger expansion velocities in the stellar vicinity, typically $V_{\rm exp} \sim 120 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Most importantly, the Doppler shift of the absorption in the P Cygni profile suggests very fast ejections reaching velocities of up to few $\times 10^2 - 10^3$ km s⁻¹. This is consistent with the earlier spectral types of PCyg sources since the escape velocity (and, hence, the stellar wind velocity) is expected to increase as the star evolves from the AGB to the PN phase due to the shrinking stellar radius. The faster winds and earlier spectral types of PCyg sources could either represent a difference in age or in the speed traversing the PAGB path with respect to EFA objects; PCyg sources seem to be older or to have evolved faster than EFA. A more rapid evolution could again suggest a more massive progenitor for PCyg objects, but as mentioned above, no signs of mass variance are found in our sample. A faster evolution could also result from larger PAGB mass loss rates in PCyg sources, which would be consistent their strong H α emission.

With regard to the extended optical nebulosities, which are detected for most sources in our sample (Table 2), both classes of objects show many signs that the jet-sculpting process responsible for the shaping of the AGB envelope to its current aspherical morphology is active or has been active in the past. However, we have not found any indications of PCyg sources being older (nebulawise) than EFA targets, since there are no obvious differences in their nebular morphologies (e.g., in the diversity of shapes and/or large- and small-scale structural components, direct visibility of the central star, or angular size). Nevertheless, for a proper evaluation of nebular aging, reliable estimates of the distance as well as accurate characterization of the nebular velocity field are needed. Finally, we would like to note that the on-going PAGB ejections probed by the nuclear H α emission in PCyg and EFA sources are probably not the same that shaped and accelerated the much more extended nebular lobes. We do not know whether PAGB winds (similar or not to the current ones) have been ejected in a continuous or episodic manner since the shaping of the AGB envelope began. The fact that PCyg and EFA objects with central stars of similar late types (F and G) exhibit $H\alpha$ emission profiles that differ both in intensity and shape may suggest episodic PAGB ejections; PCyg would represent targets that are caught at times of intensive jet activity leading to energetic shocks and intense H α emission, whereas EFA could be currently in a status of "mild" wind interaction (resulting from smaller velocities and/or densities in the PAGB wind?). Variable PAGB stellar winds are indeed evidenced by temporal changes in the intensity and profile of some nuclear emission lines, including $H\alpha$, in certain objects in our sample, namely, IRAS 19475+3119, IRAS 19114+0002, and IRAS 20462+3416 (see § 3.1.1 and references therein). In PA targets, the PAGB winds that presumably shaped their aspherical AGB envelopes may have temporarily or permanently ended.

Apart from differences in the on-going PAGB ejections, the divergence of PCyg+PE and EFA+PA sources in the *IRAS* colorcolor diagram suggests dissimilarities in the properties of the detached dust envelope produced by the large-scale mass-loss process during the AGB. The separation of both types of H α profile sources in the *IRAS* color-color diagram seems to indicate a larger range of dust temperatures for PCyg objects. The different range in dust temperatures may result from (1) a different distribution of the grain density in the AGB CSE, (2) a different spatial distribution of grain sizes, or (3) a different grain composition, which will result in a different power-law dependence of the absorption/emissivity efficiency with wavelength; or any combination of these situations. For example, large temperature gradients are expected for optically thick envelopes since the outside grains will remain cooler than for optically thin CSEs due to the fact that optical-UV radiation is heavily attenuated and therefore unable to heat up the outside grains. Envelopes with an asymmetric dust distribution with much larger optical depth along one preferred direction (e.g., along the equatorial plane) could also result in a broader distribution of dust temperatures, since grains in regions strongly shielded from the star radiation will remain cooler than those that are more exposed. Detailed modeling of the SED, including millimeter- and submillimeter-wavelength data as well as IR spectroscopy, would definitively help in improving the characterization of the dust envelope (including its chemistry) and mass-loss history of these objects throughout the AGB and PAGB phases.

7. SUMMARY

We present echelle long-slit optical spectra of a sample of evolved intermediate-mass stars in different evolutionary stages: five AGB stars, seventeen PPNs, and six YPNs. Our sample also includes the object IRAS 19114+0002, which has a controversial classification as a PPN or a yellow hypergiant, and one YSO, IRAS 05506+2414, which was serendipitously discovered in our multiwavelength survey of PPNs. (The spectrum of the latter is presented here for completeness, but it is not discussed except for spectral typing of its central star.) We have analyzed extracted 1D spectra of our targets with special focus on the characteristics of the H α line profile arising in the vicinity of the central source, i.e., the nebular nucleus. In this section, we summarize the main results obtained from this work.

1. Fifteen objects in our sample show relatively intense H α emission, whereas 11 targets show H α mainly in absorption. We have also found three sources (the AGB stars IRC +10011, V656 Cas, and IK Tau) with neither H α emission or absorption, and one object (the PPN IRAS 19292+1806) in which the low S/N of the spectrum prevents us from determining whether H α emission or absorption is present.

2. Based on the shape of the H α line, we have defined four main types of sources. Among the H α emitters, those with a symmetric H α profile are referred to as pure emission sources (PE), whereas objects with asymmetric P Cygni–like profiles are denoted as PCyg. Objects with a pure absorption H α profile are named pure absorption targets (PA). Objects with an absorption profile partially filled with weak emission are referred to as emission-filled absorption sources (EFA).

3. The presence of $H\alpha$ emission from the compact nebular core displaying either a PE, PCyg, or an EFA profile is interpreted as an indication of on-going (i.e., PAGB) mass-loss most likely in the form of a stellar wind. The observed $H\alpha$ profiles have been parameterized and analyzed to derive information on the current stellar wind and other processes that may be affecting the observed line shape. Among the objects that have already left the AGB (i.e., PPNs and YPNs), there are only two PA sources. Except for these two objects, the rest show evidence of current stellar winds, which supports the idea that PAGB winds are generally present in PPNs and YPNs and are most likely responsible for the nebular shaping.

4. We interpret the peculiar P Cygni–like profiles observed in some of our objects in a similar manner as we did for He 3-1475 (Sánchez Contreras & Sahai 2001). In this scenario the emission and absorption form in two distinct nebular components. The broad-line emission, characterized by a given *intrinsic* profile, arises from a compact central source. This line emission (and stellar continuum) is scattered by dust in the walls of the nebular lobes. The blueshifted absorption is due to neutral or partially

ionized outflowing gas inside the lobes absorbing the scattered photons along the line of sight. This results in a P Cygni–like profile where the blueshifted absorption is produced against the intrinsic emission profile.

5. For PE and PCyg sources the FWHM of the intense H α core emission indicates gas motions with velocities in the range [50–200] km s⁻¹. The mean velocity of the bulk of the material producing the blueshifted absorption in PCyg targets varies between $V \sim 50$ and 500 km s⁻¹; however, larger outflow terminal velocities of up to $v_{\infty} \approx 1000$ km s⁻¹ are observed. It is possible that a radial and or latitudinal velocity gradient exists in the PAGB outflows and/or that distinct wind components are present.

6. Broad H α emission wings, with widths of up to ± 2000 km s⁻¹, are observed in most PE and PCyg sources. The yet unclear origin of the very extended wings is investigated following a similar analysis to that performed by Arrieta & Torres-Peimbert (2003). Unlike these authors, we cannot conclude that in our sample Raman scattering is the main mechanism for line broadening. The presence of fast stellar winds, which is confirmed by P Cygni profiles in more than one-third of our targets, is another plausible mechanism that could contribute to the broad H α wings observed. These winds, however, would have to reach velocities larger than those derived from the P Cygni absorption features (v_{∞}) to explain the broad H α wings.

7. The H α emission filling in the absorption profile of EFA indicates moderate speed (~50 km s⁻¹) motions in the nuclear H α -emitting region. For most EFA sources, the emission "hump" is displaced blueward from the narrow stellar absorption feature, which can be explained by occultation of the receding part of an outflowing stellar wind by the central star.

8. We have found differences in the H α profiles of some of our targets with respect to earlier observations, namely, IRAS 19114+0002, IRAS 19475+3119, IRAS 20462+3416, and the AGB stars IRC +10216, CIT 6, and IK Tau. These variations most likely represent real changes on the physical properties of the nuclear H α -emitting region (e.g., density, excitation, ionization fraction, geometry, and size) presumably induced by the evolution of the central star and/or its current stellar wind.

9. We briefly discuss other hydrogen and nonhydrogen lines observed toward our targets. We note several prominent C₂ Swan bands in the AGB stars IRC+10216 and CIT 6 and the PPN IRAS 04296+3429. Some of these bands have been reported for the first time in this work. It is also worth mentioning the detection of emission by the [Ca II] $\lambda\lambda$ 7291, 7324 doublet in the absorption-line-dominated spectrum of the YHG IRAS 19114+0002. Observation of these lines, also present in the spectra of IRAS 17516-2525, M1-92, Hen 3-1475, IRAS 22036+5306, IRAS 08005-2356, and (tentatively) IRAS 19520+2759, is consistent with dust grain destruction by moderate-velocity shocks in the stellar wind.

10. We have estimated the spectral type of the central stars of the objects in our sample by comparing their normalized spectra with those of template stars from published stellar libraries to investigate the correlation of this fundamental stellar parameter with the type of H α profile. In a number of objects with F- and G-type central stars, we found discrepancies (typically of one type) with respect to previous spectral type assignations obtained from low spectral resolution studies. Such differences may reflect the limitations of low-resolution spectroscopy for accurate spectral typing, although a real-time evolution of the stellar effective temperature cannot be ruled out.

11. The stellar luminosity has been estimated from the luminosity-dependent O I 7773 Å IR triplet, which is observed in absorption in the spectrum of some of our targets (13 out of

29). The obtained values, $L_{\text{bol}} \approx 5 \times 10^3 - 10^5 L_{\odot}$, are consistent with PAGB stars with initial masses in the range $1-8 M_{\odot}$, with a significant fraction (10 out of 13) of objects with masses >3 M_{\odot} . Such massive PAGB objects represent ~30% of our whole sample. The rest of the sources, which is also the majority (~70%), may well have low-mass ($\leq 3 M_{\odot}$) progenitors; this may be part of the reason why the O I triplet is not observed in absorption. For the highest masses/luminosities derived in our sample, the theory of PAGB stellar evolution predicts rapid changes of the effective stellar temperature that should be observable in a time-scale of $\approx 10-100$ yr.

12. We investigated correlations between the type of H α profile and some stellar and envelope parameters. The shape of the H α line emission is correlated with the stellar spectral type as well as the NIR and *IRAS* colors. No correlation has been found with the chemistry, Galactic latitude, or nebular morphology.

13. All sources in which $H\alpha$ is seen mainly in absorption (i.e., PA and EFA) have F–G type central stars, whereas sources with intense $H\alpha$ emission (i.e., PE and PCyg) span a larger range of spectral types from O to G, with a relative maximum around B, and also including very late C types. The measured equivalent widths of the $H\alpha$ emission in objects with O- and B-type stars are consistent with UV stellar radiation being the main ionizing agent. $H\alpha$ emitters with cooler central stars lack enough ionizing radiation; therefore, the emission component in these cases is likely to be formed in the de-excitation region behind a shock wave, presumably produced by wind interaction.

14. PCyg and PE sources are found to exhibit a larger J - K color excess than PA and EFA objects. Moreover, while the NIR colors of EFA+PA sources are consistent with the major contribution to the emission in the NIR bands being the reddened stellar photosphere, the position of PCyg+PE sources in the (J - H) - (H - K) color-color diagram highlights the presence of warm dust. This component of warm dust probably results from substantial present-day mass loss evidenced by the strong H α emission of PE and PCyg targets.

15. Intense H α emitters (i.e., PCyg+PE) and objects with H α mainly in absorption (i.e., PA+EFA) also segregate in the IRAS color-color diagram in a way that the former have dust grains with a larger range of temperatures. Such a different temperature range may result from (1) a different distribution of the grain density in the AGB envelope, (2) a different spatial distribution of grain sizes, (3) a different grain composition, or any combination of these situations.

16. The differences found between PE+PCyg and PA+EFA sources described above indicate dissimilarities in their PAGB and AGB mass-loss histories. The intense H α emission and NIR color excess of PE and PCyg sources may indicate larger PAGB mass-loss rates compared with those in EFA and PA targets. The lack of a mass/luminosity segregation of the two profile sources suggests that PAGB mass-loss is not very dependent on intrinsic stellar properties but may be dictated by extrinsic factors like, for example, the presence of a binary companion. The faster winds and earlier spectral types of the central stars of PCyg and PE sources suggest that these are older and/or have a larger speed traversing the PAGB evolutionary path than PA and EFA.

17. We have not found any indications of the extended optical nebulosities (observed in most objects) being older for PCyg+PE sources than for EFA+PA targets. Such nebulosities show many signs that the jet-sculpting process of the AGB CSE is currently active or has been active in the past in all cases. For the only two PA targets in our sample, IRAS 19477+2401 and IRAS 17150–3224, the winds that shaped their AGB CSEs to its current aspherical morphology are not seen at present. The on-going PAGB

winds probed by the nuclear H α emission in PE+PCyg and EFA objects, which represent the vast majority of our sample, may not be the same that carved and accelerated the much more extended (probably older) optical lobes, however, shaping of the innermost layers of the AGB envelope (by interaction with the present-day PAGB winds) is probably still at work.

18. In principle, PAGB winds may have been ejected in a continuous or episodic manner since the shaping of the AGB envelope began. The fact that PCyg and EFA objects with central stars of similar late types (F and G) exhibit quite different H α emission profiles may suggest episodic PAGB ejections; PCyg would represent targets that are caught at times of intensive jet activity leading to energetic shocks and intense H α emission, whereas EFA could be currently in a status of "mild" wind interaction (resulted from smaller velocities and/or densities in the PAGB wind?). In PA targets, the PAGB winds that presumably shape their aspherical AGB envelopes may have temporarily or permanently ended.

Most of data presented herein were obtained at the W. M. Keck Observatory, which is operated as a scientific partnership among the California Institute of Technology, the University of California, and NASA. The Observatory was made possible by the generous financial support of the W. M. Keck Foundation. The authors wish to recognize and acknowledge the very significant cultural role and reverence that the summit of Mauna Kea has always had within the indigenous Hawaiian community. We are most fortunate to have the opportunity to conduct observations from this mountain. We are very grateful to B. F. Madore for kindly providing part of his time at the 6.5 m Magellan-I (Baade) telescope in Las Campanas Observatory to this project. The Magellan telescope is operated by a consortium consisting of the Carnegie Institution of Washington, Harvard University, the Massechusets Institute of Technology, the University of Michigan, and the University of Arizona. We also thank Gregory Walth and Daniel Kelson for processing the MIKE spectra for us. This work has been partially performed at the California Institute of Technology and the Department of Molecular and Infrared Astrophysics of the Instituto de Estructura de la Materia, CSIC, and has been partially supported by the California Institute of Technology optical observatories and by the Spanish MCyT under project AYA 2006-14876 and the Spanish MEC under project PIE 200750I028. R. S. thanks NASA for partially funding this work through an LTSA award (399-20-40-06) and ADP award (399-30-00-08); R. S. also received partial support for this work from HST/GO awards (GO-09463.01, 09801.01, and 10185.01) from the Space Telescope Science Institute (operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, under NASA contract NAS 05-26555). The contribution of R. S. to the research described in this publication was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with NASA. A. G. d. P. is partially financed by the MAGPOP EU Marie Curie Research Training Network and by the Spanish Programa Nacional de Astronomía y Astrofísica under grants AYA2003-01676 and AYA2006-02358. We acknowledge the use of data from the UVES Paranal Observatory Project (ESO DDT Program ID 266.D-5655). This research has made use of the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France, and the NASA Astrophysics Data System.

Facilities: Keck:II (ESI), Magellan:Baade (MIKE)

APPENDIX

DISCREPANT STELLAR SPECTRAL TYPE CLASSIFICATION

The stellar spectral type estimated from our ESI data is different from previous assignations for several objects (§ 4.2). In the majority of the cases, we find earlier spectral types (i.e., larger T_{eff}) than those reported in the past. The most extreme case is IRAS 19306+1407, which has been classified as G5, but displays clear signatures of a B-type star in our ESI data (see below). There is also a total of four PPNs with central stars formerly classified as G but with ESI spectra most consistent with F types. In two cases the spectral class derived from this work is later than in previous measurements, namely, IRAS 17317–2743 and IRAS 19477+2410, which have gone from a past mid-F to a current G4 and G0 classification, respectively. Incidentally, we note that these two objects are EFA+PA, whereas sources for which our spectral type is earlier than in former works are all PCyg+PE.

Discrepancies between spectral types obtained from low- and high-resolution studies has been noticed for other PAGB objects and is discussed by, e.g., Decin et al. (1998) and Reddy & Hrivnak (1999). Among the objects with discrepant past and our current spectral type classifications, IRAS 04296+3429 is the only one with additional high-resolution spectroscopic line studies in the literature. The fact that the spectral type and $T_{\rm eff}$ for IRAS 04296+3429 determined by such studies (including this work) are all in very good agreement but systematically differ from the spectral classification from the low-resolution spectrum indicates that the changes observed do not correspond to a real-time evolution of the stellar $T_{\rm eff}$, but rather reflect the inadequacy/limitations of low-resolution spectra for accurate spectral-type determinations. (Note that the previous high-resolution data of this object were taken at different epochs, from 1988 to 2003, and the low-resolution spectrum was obtained in 1991.) This could also well be the case for objects with low- and high-resolution spectral types in disagreement by one type, e.g., objects previously classified as F (G) versus our current classification as G (F). In other words, we assume that the typical error of the spectral type derived from low-resolution spectra can be of one type but unlikely larger than that. (Note, however, that a real-time variation in $T_{\rm eff}$ cannot be completely ruled out.)

According to this, the spectral type change observed in IRAS 19306+1407 can hardly be accounted for by the different spectral resolution. Although it is not impossible, we believe that the different spectral type does not result from the rapid evolution of the central star toward higher temperatures. There are remarkable differences between our spectrum and that observed by Suárez et al. (2006) that suggest that the spectrum reported by these authors probably did not correspond to IRAS 19306+1407. This is mainly supported by the absence of the deep Na I D doublet lines at ~5900 Å in the spectrum by Suárez et al. (2006). The radial velocity derived from the sodium lines in our data, $V_{LSR} \sim 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, is very different from that measured in stellar absorption lines, such as C II 6578, 6583 Å and He I 6678 Å, which yield $V_{LSR} \sim 90-100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, in agreement with the systemic velocity of the source derived from CO measurements (Table 3). The velocity of the sodium lines indicates that their origin is most likely interstellar and, therefore, their absence in the Suarez et al. spectrum, which is not explained by their lower S/N, suggests that the object observed by these authors is not IRAS 19306+1407. (We note that if the Na I D lines in our spectrum were stellar then they should have been even deeper in a G5 star.) Another indication of the possible object misidentification is the absence in the Suarez et al. data of the broad diffuse bands at 4430, 5780, 5797, 6284, 6614, 6993, and 7224 Å observed by us, which may also be interstellar.

In spite of the above reasoning, we would like to note that it is not totally unrealistic to expect spectral changes due to rapid PAGB evolution of the central star of IRAS 19306+1407 in a timescale of ≈ 10 yr. In fact, the high luminosity derived from the deep O I IR triplet indicates a final mass of the central star in the range [>0.84–0.94 M_{\odot}], which for the standard/empirical initial-final mass relationship, implies an initial mass of $M_{ZAMS} \sim 5-8 M_{\odot}$. According to the theoretical evolutionary models for massive PAGB stars by Bloecker (1995), a 5–8 M_{\odot} star reaches $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 20,000$ K in only 80–10 yr. (For comparison, traversing the same spectral interval would take $\sim 10^3$ yr for a $M_{ZAMS} = 2 M_{\odot}$.)

To the best of our knowledge, evolution toward higher temperatures has been previously reported for two PAGB stars: SAO 85766 (IRAS 18062+2410) and SAO 244567 (Hen 3-1357) (Parthasarathy et al. 2000 and references therein). For SAO 85766, an increase of the temperature from ~8500 K to ~22000 K in less than 25 yr is found, and SAO 244567 has turned into a young PN within the last 20–30 yr, and its central star appears to be evolving rapidly into a white dwarf. A very fast evolution of the temperature of the central star of the YHG IRC +10420 has been also reported by Klochkova et al. (1997), who measured an increase of $T_{\rm eff}$ from ~5700 to ~8600 K in only 4 yr during 1992–1996.

REFERENCES

Arellano-Ferro, A. 1985, RevMexAA, 11, 113

- Arellano Ferro, A., Giridhar, S., & Rojo Arellano, E. 2003, RevMexAA, 39,
- Arkhipova, V. P., Ikonnikova, N. P., Noskova, R. I., Komissarova, G. V., Klochkova, V. G., & Esipov, V. F. 2001a, Astron. Lett., 27, 719
- Arrieta, A., & Torres-Peimbert, S. 2003, ApJS, 147, 97
- Arrieta, A., Torres-Peimbert, S., & Georgiev, L. 2005, ApJ, 623, 252
- Bagnulo, S., et al. 2003, Messenger, 114, 10
- Balick, B., & Frank, A. 2002, ARA&A, 40, 439
- Bernstein, R., Shectman, S. A., Gunnels, S. M., Mochnacki, S., & Athey, A. E. 2003, Proc. SPIE, 4841, 1694
- Bieging, J. H., Schmidt, G. D., Smith, P. S., & Oppenheimer, B. D. 2006, ApJ, 639, 1053
- Bloecker, T. 1995, A&A, 299, 755
- Brocklehurst, M. 1971, MNRAS, 153, 471
- Bujarrabal, V., Alcolea, J., Sahai, R., Zamorano, J., & Zijlstra, A. A. 1998, A&A, 331, 361
- Bujarrabal, V., Castro-Carrizo, A., Alcolea, J., & Sánchez Contreras, C. 2001, A&A, 377, 868

- Castelaz, M. W., Luttermoser, D. G., Caton, D. B., & Piontek, R. A. 2000, AJ, 120, 2627
- Castro-Carrizo, A., Quintana-Lacaci, G., Bujarrabal, V., Neri, R., & Alcolea, J. 2007, A&A, 465, 457
- Cohen, M. 1980, ApJ, 238, L81
- Cohen, M., & Schmidt, G. D. 1982, ApJ, 259, 693
- Cox, A. N. 2000, Allen's Astrophysical Quantities (4th ed.; New York: AIP)
- Crowther, P. A., De Marco, O., & Barlow, M. J. 1998, MNRAS, 296, 367 Davis, C. J., Smith, M. D., Gledhill, T. M., & Varricatt, W. P. 2005, MNRAS,
- 360, 104
- Decin, L., Van Winckel, H., Waelkens, C., & Bakker, E. J. 1998, A&A, 332, 928
- Ducati, J. R., Bevilacqua, C. M., Rembold, S. B., & Ribeiro, D. 2001, ApJ, 558, 309
- Faraggiana, R., Gerbaldi, M., van't Veer, C., & Floquet, M. 1988, A&A, 201, 259
- Fukugita, M., Shimasaku, K., & Ichikawa, T. 1995, PASP, 107, 945
- García-Lario, P., Manchado, A., Pych, W., & Pottasch, S. R. 1997a, A&AS, 126, 479

- García-Lario, P., Parthasarathy, M., de Martino, D., Sanz Fernández de Córdoba, L., Monier, R., Manchado, A., & Pottasch, S. R. 1997b, A&A, 326, 1103
- Gledhill, T. M. 2005, MNRAS, 356, 883
- Hartigan, P., Raymond, J., & Hartmann, L. 1987, ApJ, 316, 323
- Hrivnak, B. J. 1995, ApJ, 438, 341
- Hu, J. Y., Slijkhuis, S., Nguyen-Q-Rieu, & de Jong, T. 1993, A&A, 273, 185
- Jura, M., & Werner, M. W. 1999, ApJ, 525, L113 Kelly, D. M., & Hrivnak, B. J. 2005, ApJ, 629, 1040
- Kelson, D. D. 2003, PASP, 115, 688
- Kelson, D. D. 2005, IASI, 115, 000
- Kelson, D, D., Illingworth, G. D., van Dokkum, P. G., & Franx, M. 2000, ApJ, 531, 184
- Klochkova, V. G., Chentsov, E. L., & Panchuk, V. E. 1997, MNRAS, 292, 19 Klochkova, V. G., Panchuk, V. E., & Tavolzhanskaya, N. S. 2002, Astron. Lett.,
- 28, 49
- Klochkova, V. G., Szczerba, R., Panchuk, V. E., & Volk, K. 1999, A&A, 345, 905
- Kudritzki, R. P., Mendez, R. H., Puls, J., & McCarthy, J. K. 1997, in IAU Symp. 180, Planetary Nebulae, ed. H. J. Habing & H. J. G. L. M. Lamers (Dordrecht: Kluwer), 64
- Lebre, A., & Gillet, D. 1991, A&A, 246, 490
- ——. 1992, A&A, 255, 221
- Lee, H.-W. & Hyung S. 2000, ApJ, 530, L49
- Loup, C., Forveille, T., Omont, A., & Paul, J. F. 1993, A&AS, 99, 291
- Lowe, K. T. E., & Gledhill, T. M. 2007, MNRAS, 374, 176
- Luna, R., Cox, N. L. J., Satorre, M. A., García Hernández, D. A., Suárez, O., & García Lario, P. 2008, A&A, 480, 133
- Maíz-Apellániz, J., Walborn, N. R., Galué, H. Á., & Wei, L. H. 2004, ApJS, 151, 103
- Mauron, N., & Huggins, P. J. 2006, A&A, 452, 257
- Nyman, L.-A., Hall, P. J., & Olofsson, H. 1998, A&AS, 127, 185
- Oppenheimer, B. D., Bieging, J. H., Schmidt, G. D., Gordon, K. D., Misselt, K. A., & Smith, P. S. 2005, ApJ, 624, 957
- Oppenheimer, B. D., & Davé, R. 2006, MNRAS, 373, 1265
- Parthasarathy, M., García-Lario, P., Sivarani, T., Manchado, A., & Sanz Fernández de Córdoba, L. 2000, A&A, 357, 241
- Pereira, C. B., & Miranda, L. F. 2007, A&A, 462, 231
- Perinotto, M. 1993, in IAU Symp. 155, Planetary Nebulae, ed. R. Weinberger & A. Acker (Dordrecht: Kluwer), 57
- Reddy, B. E., & Hrivnak, B. J. 1999, AJ, 117, 1834
- Riera, A., Binette, L., & Raga, A. C. 2006, A&A, 455, 203
- Sahai, R., Claussen, M., Sánchez Contreras, C., Morris, M., & Sarkar, G. 2008, ApJ, 680, 483
- Sahai, R., Morris, M., Sánchez Contreras, C., & Claussen, M. 2007a, AJ, 134, 2200
- Sahai, R., Sánchez Contreras, C., & Morris, M. 2005, ApJ, 620, 948
- Sahai, R., Sánchez Contreras, C., Morris, M., & Claussen, M. 2007b, ApJ, 658, 410
- Sahai, R., & Trauger, J. T. 1998, AJ, 116, 1357
- Sahai, R., Young, K., Patel, N. A., Sánchez Contreras, C., & Morris, M. 2006, ApJ, 653, 1241

- Sahai, R., Zijlstra, A., Sánchez Contreras, C., & Morris, M. 2003, ApJ, 586, L81
- Sánchez Contreras, C., Bujarrabal, V., Castro-Carrizo, A., Alcolea, J., & Sargent, A. 2006a, ApJ, 643, 945
- Sánchez Contreras, C., Bujarrabal, V., Miranda, L. F., & Fernández-Figueroa, M. J. 2000, A&A, 355, 1103
- Sánchez Contreras, C., Le Mignant, D., Sahai, R., Chaffee, F. H., & Morris, M. 2006b, in IAU Symp. 234, Planetary Nebulae in our Galaxy and Beyond, ed. M. J. Barlow & R. H. Méndez (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), 71
- Sánchez Contreras, C., & Sahai, R. 2001, ApJ, 553, L173
- 2004, in ASP Conf. Ser. 313, Asymmetrical Planetary Nebulae III: Winds, Structure and the Thunderbird, ed. M. Meixner et al. (San Francisco: ASP), 377
- Sánchez Contreras, C., Sahai, R., & Gil de Paz, A. 2002, ApJ, 578, 269
- Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, ApJ, 500, 525
- Schmidt, G. D., Hines, D. C., & Swift, S. 2002, ApJ, 576, 429
- Sevenster, M. N. 2002, AJ, 123, 2788
- Sheinis, A. I., Bolte, M., Epps, H. W., Kibrick, R. I., Miller, J. S., Radovan, M. V., Bigelow, B. C., & Sutin, B. M. 2002, PASP, 114, 851
- Slijkhuis, S., de Jong, T., & Hu, J. Y. 1991, A&A, 248, 547
- Slowik, D. J., & Peterson, D. M. 1995, AJ, 109, 2193
- Smith, L. J., Norris, R. P. F., & Crowther, P. A. 2002, MNRAS, 337, 1309
- Smith, V. V., & Lambert, D. L. 1994, ApJ, 424, L123
- Sowell, J. R. 1990, AJ, 100, 834
- Su, K. Y. L., Hrivnak, B. J., & Kwok, S. 2001, AJ, 122, 1525
- Suárez, O., García-Lario, P., Manchado, A., Manteiga, M., Ulla, A., & Pottasch, S. R. 2006, A&A, 458, 173
- Szczerba, R., Siódmiak, N., Stasińska, G., & Borkowski, J. 2007, A&A, 469, 799
- Tamura, S., & Takeuti, M. 1993, in ASP Conf. Ser. 45, Luminous High-Latitude Stars, ed. D. D. Sasselov (San Francisco: ASP), 309
- Teyssier, D., Hernandez, R., Bujarrabal, V., Yoshida, H., & Phillips, T. G. 2006, A&A, 450, 167
- Thomas, R. M., Morton, D. C., & Murdin, P. G. 1979, MNRAS, 188, 19
- Trammell, S. R., Dinerstein, H. L., & Goodrich, R. W. 1994, AJ, 108, 984
- Turner, D. G., & Drilling, J. S. 1984, PASP, 96, 292
- Ueta, T., Meixner, M., & Bobrowsky, M. 2000, ApJ, 528, 861
- Ueta, T., Murakawa, K., & Meixner, M. 2007, AJ, 133, 1345
- Vacca, W. D., Garmany, C. D., & Shull, J. M. 1996, ApJ, 460, 914
- van de Steene, G. C., Jacoby, G. H., & Pottasch, S. R. 1996a, A&AS, 118, 243
- van de Steene, G. C., Sahu, K. C., & Pottasch, S. R. 1996b, A&AS, 120, 111
- van der Veen, W. E. C. J., Habing, H. J., van Langevelde, H. J., & Geballe, T. R.
- 1989, A&A, 216, L1
- Van Winckel, H., & Reyniers, M. 2000, A&A, 354, 135
- Vázquez, R., López-Martín, L., Miranda, L. F., Esteban, C., Torrelles, J. M., Arias, L., & Raga, A. C. 2000, A&A, 357, 1031
- Začs, L., Klochkova, V. G., Panchuk, V. E., & Spelmanis, R. 1996, MNRAS, 282, 1171